

On Reciprocal Complementary Wiener Index of a Graph

H. S. RAMANE¹, V. B. JOSHI², V. V. MANJALAPUR^{1,*}, S. D. SHINDHE²

¹Department of Mathematics, Karnatak University, Dharwad – 580003, India

²Department of Statistics, Karnatak University, Dharwad – 580003, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 9 December 2016

Accepted 25 August 2017

Published online 15 September 2018

Academic Editor: Zehui Shao

Keywords:

Eccentricity

Diameter

Reciprocal complementary Wiener index

Self-centered graph

ABSTRACT

The eccentricity of a vertex v of G is the largest distance between v and any other vertex in G . The reciprocal complementary Wiener (RCW) index of G is defined as

$$RCW(G) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)},$$

where D is the diameter of G and $d(v_i, v_j)$ is the distance between the vertices v_i and v_j . In this paper, we have obtained bounds for the RCW index in terms of eccentricities and given an algorithm to compute the RCW index.

© 2018 University of Kashan Press. All rights reserved

1 INTRODUCTION

Graph theory has provided chemist with a variety of useful tools, such as Topological Index. Molecules and molecular compounds are often modeled by molecular graph. A molecular graph is a representation of the structural formula of a chemical compound in terms of graph theory, whose vertices correspond to the atoms of the compound and edges correspond to the chemical bonds.

Throughout this paper we consider only simple, connected graphs without loops and multiple edges [1]. Let G be such graph with n vertices, m edges and vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. The *degree* of $v_i \in V(G)$, denoted by $deg(v_i)$, is the number of vertices adjacent to v_i . The sum of the degrees of the vertices of G is $2m$. The *distance* between the vertices

*Corresponding Author (Email address: vinu.m001@gmail.com)

DOI:10.22052/ijmc.2017.69915.1259

v_i and v_j of $V(G)$, denoted by $d(v_i, v_j)$, is the length of the shortest path joining them. The *eccentricity* of a vertex $v \in V(G)$, denoted by $e(v)$, is the largest distance between v and any other vertex of the graph G . The *radius* $r = r(G)$ of G is the minimum eccentricity of the vertices and the *diameter* $D = D(G)$ of G is the maximum eccentricity. A vertex v is called *central vertex* of G , if $e(v) = r(G)$. A graph is called *self-centered* if every vertex is a central vertex. Thus in a self-centered graph $r(G) = D(G)$. A vertex u is said to be an *eccentric vertex* of a vertex v if $d(u, v) = e(v)$. An *eccentric path* $P(v)$ of a vertex v is a path of length $e(v)$ joining v and its eccentric vertex. There may exist more than one eccentric path for a given vertex.

A topological index is a graph invariant applicable in chemistry. The Wiener index is the first topological index introduced by Harold Wiener in 1947 [11]. There are many topological indices which are frequently made their appearance in both chemical and mathematical literature.

Wiener index $W(G)$ of a graph G is defined as [11],

$$W(G) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} d(v_i, v_j). \quad (1)$$

The *reciprocal complementary Wiener (RCW)* index of a graph G is defined as [3, 4]

$$RCW(G) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)}, \quad (2)$$

where D is the diameter of G .

The reciprocal complementary distance number of vertex v_i of G , denoted by $RCDN(v_i | G)$ is defined as,

$$RCDN(v_i | G) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)}.$$

Therefore, $RCW(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n RCDN(v_i | G)$.

The chemical applications of RCW index are reported in the literature [3–5, 10] and one can refer the mathematical properties of RCW index in [2, 6, 8, 12–14]. RCW index has been successfully applied in the structure property modeling of the molar heat capacity, standard Gibbs energy of formation and vaporization enthalpy of 134 alkanes $C_6 - C_{10}$ [3]. In [2] Cai and Zhou determined the trees with the smallest, the second smallest and the third smallest RCW indices, and the unicyclic and bicyclic graphs with the smallest and the second smallest RCW indices. In [13] Zhou et al. obtained some properties, especially various upper and lower bounds and Nordhaus-Gaddum-type results of RCW indices. Qi and Zhou [6] characterized the trees with fixed number of vertices and matching number with the smallest RCW index. Ramane et al. [7, 9] obtained bounds for the Wiener number and also for Harary index in terms of eccentricities. The present work contains bounds on

the *RCW* index in terms of eccentricities and moreover, we have given a simple algorithm to compute *RCW* index for any simple graph.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. Let G be a simple, connected graph with n vertices, m edges, diameter D and $e_i = e(v_i)$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then,

$$RCW(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i}{D-1} - \frac{2m-n}{D(D-1)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)} \right]. \quad (3)$$

Equality holds if and only if for every vertex v_i of G , if $P(v_i)$ is one of the eccentric path of v_i , then for every $v_j \in V(G)$ which is not on $P(v_i)$, $d(v_i, v_j) \leq 2$.

Proof. Let $P(v_i)$ be one of the eccentric path of $v_i \in V(G)$. Let

$$A_1(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is on eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\},$$

$$A_2(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is adjacent to } v_i \text{ and which is not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\},$$

$$A_3(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is not adjacent to } v_i \text{ and not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\}.$$

It is clear that $A_1(v_i) \cup A_2(v_i) \cup A_3(v_i) = V(G)$ and $|A_1(v_i)| = e_i + 1$, $|A_2(v_i)| = \text{deg}(v_i) - 1$, $|A_3(v_i)| = n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i)$. Now

$$\sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} = \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D - (j - 1)}, \quad \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} = \frac{\text{deg}(v_i) - 1}{D},$$

$$\sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} \geq \frac{n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i)}{D - 1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} RCDN(v_i \mid G) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &= \sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} + \\ &\quad \sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &\geq \frac{D(n - e_i - 1) - \text{deg}(v_i) + 1}{D(D - 1)} + \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D - (j - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
RCW(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n RCDN(v_i | G) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{D(n - e_i - 1) - \deg(v_i) + 1}{D(D-1)} + \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D - (j-1)} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i}{D-1} - \frac{2m-n}{D(D-1)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D - (j-1)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

For equality, Let $d(v_i, v_j) = 2$, where $v_j \in A_3(v_i)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} &= \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D - (j-1)}, & \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} &= \frac{\deg(v_i) - 1}{D}, \\
\sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} &= \frac{n - e_i - \deg(v_i)}{D - 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
RCDN(v_i | G) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} \\
&= \sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} + \\
&\quad \sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} \\
&= \frac{D(n - e_i - 1) - \deg(v_i) + 1}{D(D-1)} + \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D - (j-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
RCW(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n RCDN(v_i | G) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i}{D-1} - \frac{2m-n}{D(D-1)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D - (j-1)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose G is not such as explained in the equality part of this theorem. Then there exist at least one vertex $v_j \in A_3(v_i)$ such that $d(v_i, v_j) \geq 3$. Let $A_3(v_i)$ be partitioned into two sets $A_{31}(v_i)$ and $A_{32}(v_i)$, where
 $A_{31}(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is not adjacent to } v_i, \text{ not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i \text{ and } d(v_i, v_j) = 2\}$,

$A_{32}(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is not adjacent to } v_i, \text{ not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i \text{ and } d(v_i, v_j) \geq 3\}$.

Let $|A_{32}(v_i)| = l \geq 1$. So, $|A_{31}(v_i)| = n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i) - l$. Therefore

$$\sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} = \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D - (j - 1)}, \quad \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} = \frac{\text{deg}(v_i) - 1}{D},$$

$$\sum_{v_j \in A_{31}(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} = \frac{n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i) - l}{D - 1}, \quad \sum_{v_j \in A_{32}(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} \geq \frac{l}{D - 2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} RCDN(v_i \mid G) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &= \sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} + \\ &\quad \sum_{v_j \in A_{31}(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_{32}(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &= \frac{D(n - e_i - 1) - \text{deg}(v_i) + 1}{D(D - 1)} + \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D - (j - 1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{l}{(D - 2)(D - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} RCW(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n RCDN(v_i \mid G) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{D(n - e_i - 1) - \text{deg}(v_i) + 1}{D(D - 1)} + \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D - (j - 1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{l}{(D - 2)(D - 1)} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n(n - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i}{D - 1} - \frac{2m - n}{D(D - 1)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D - (j - 1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{nl}{(D - 2)(D - 1)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction to the equality as $l \geq 1$. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 2. Let G be a self-centered graph with n vertices, m edges and radius $r = r(G)$. Then

$$RCW(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{nr(n-1-r) - 2m + n}{r(r-1)} + n \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{r-(j-1)} \right]. \tag{4}$$

Equality holds if and only if for every vertex v_i of a self-centered graph G , if $P(v_i)$ is one of the eccentric path of v_i then for every $v_j \in V(G)$ which is not on the eccentric path $P(v_i)$, $d(v_i, v_j) \leq 2$.

Proof. Since G is a self-centered graph, the radius $r = e_i = e(v_i) = D$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Therefore by Eq. (3)

$$\begin{aligned} RCW(G) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n(n-1-r) - 2m - n}{r-1} - \frac{2m-n}{r(r-1)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{r-(j-1)} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{nr(n-1-r) - 2m + n}{r(r-1)} + n \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{r-(j-1)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Equality part can be proved in analogous to the proof of equality part of Theorem 1. □

Theorem 3. Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and $e_i = e(v_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$RCW(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i}{D} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)} \right]. \tag{5}$$

Equality holds if and only if for every vertex v_i of G , if $P(v_i)$ is one of the eccentric path of v_i , then for every $v_j \in V(G)$ which is not on $P(v_i)$, $d(v_i, v_j) = 1$.

Proof. Let $P(v_i)$ be one of the eccentric path of $v_i \in V(G)$, $B_1(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is on eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\}$ and $B_2(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\}$. It is easy to check that $B_1(v_i) \cup B_2(v_i) = V(G)$, $|B_1(v_i)| = e_i + 1$ and $|B_2(v_i)| = n - e_i - 1$. Now

$$\sum_{v_j \in B_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} = \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D - (j-1)}, \quad \sum_{v_j \in B_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} \geq \frac{n - e_i - 1}{D}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} RCDN(v_i \mid G) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &= \sum_{v_j \in B_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in B_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{1 + D - d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D - (j-1)} + \frac{n - e_i - 1}{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 RCW(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n RCDN(v_i | G) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)} + \frac{n-e_i-1}{D} \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i}{D} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

For equality, let $d(v_i, v_j) = 1$, where $v_j \in B_2(v_i)$. Hence

$$\sum_{v_j \in B_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} = \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{v_j \in B_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} = \frac{n-e_i-1}{D}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 RCDN(v_i | G) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} \\
 &= \sum_{v_j \in B_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in B_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)} + \frac{n-e_i-1}{D}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 RCW(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n RCDN(v_i | G) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i}{D} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose G is not a such graph as explained in the equality part of this theorem. Then there exist at least one vertex $v_j \in B_2(v_i)$ such that $d(v_i, v_j) \geq 2$. Let $B_2(v_i)$ be partitioned into two sets $B_{21}(v_i)$ and $B_{22}(v_i)$, where $B_{21}(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i \text{ and } d(v_i, v_j) = 1\}$, $B_{22}(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i \text{ and } d(v_i, v_j) \geq 2\}$. Let $|B_{22}(v_i)| = l \geq 1$ and $|B_{21}(v_i)| = n - e_i - 1 - l$. Therefore

$$\sum_{v_j \in B_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} = \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)}, \quad \sum_{v_j \in B_{21}(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} = \frac{n-e_i-1-l}{D},$$

$$\sum_{v_j \in B_{22}(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} \geq \frac{l}{D-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} RCDN(v_i | G) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &= \sum_{v_j \in B_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in B_{21}(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} + \\ &\quad \sum_{v_j \in B_{22}(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)} + \frac{n-e_i-1-l}{D} + \frac{l}{D-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} RCW(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n RCDN(v_i | G) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)} + \frac{n-e_i-1-l}{D} + \frac{l}{D-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)} + \frac{n(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i}{D} + \frac{nl}{D(D-1)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

As $l \geq 1$, it contradicts to the equality. This completes the proof. \square

If G is a self-centered graph then $e_i = e(v_i) = r(G)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Substituting this in Eq. (5) we get the following corollary.

Corollary 4. Let G be a self-centered graph with n vertices and radius $r = r(G)$. Then

$$RCW(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n(n-1-r)}{r} + n \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{r-(j-1)} \right]. \quad (6)$$

Equality holds if and only if for every vertex v_i of a self-centered graph G , if $P(v_i)$ is one of the eccentric path of v_i then for every $v_j \in V(G)$ which is not on the eccentric path $P(v_i)$, then $d(v_i, v_j) = 1$.

Theorem 5. Let G be a connected graph with n vertices, m edges and diameter D . Let $e_i = e(v_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$RCW(G) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[n^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i - 2m + \frac{2m-n}{D} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)} \right]. \quad (7)$$

Equality holds if and only if $D \leq 2$.

Proof. Let $P(v_i)$ be one of the eccentric path of $v_i \in V(G)$. Let

$A_1(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\}$,

$A_2(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is adjacent to } v_i \text{ and which is not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\}$,

$A_3(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is not adjacent to } v_i \text{ and not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\}$.

It is easy to check that $A_1(v_i) \cup A_2(v_i) \cup A_3(v_i) = V(G)$ and $|A_1(v_i)| = e_i + 1$, $|A_2(v_i)| = \text{deg}(v_i) - 1$ and $|A_3(v_i)| = n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} &= \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)}, & \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} &= \frac{\text{deg}(v_i)-1}{D}, \\ \sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} &\leq n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} RCDN(v_i | G) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &= \sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} + \\ &\quad \sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)} + \frac{\text{deg}(v_i)-1}{D} + (n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i)) \\ &= \frac{D(n - e_i) + (1 - D)\text{deg}(v_i) - 1}{D} + \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} RCW(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n RCDN(v_i | G) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{D(n - e_i) + (1 - D)\text{deg}(v_i) - 1}{D} + \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[n^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i - 2m + \frac{2m-n}{D} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{D-(j-1)} \right].$$

For equality, let $D \leq 2$. We consider here two cases.

Case 1: If $D = 1$, then $G = K_n$, a complete graph on n vertices. Therefore, $A_3(v_i)$ is an empty set. Hence

$$RCW(G) = \frac{1}{2} \left[n^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i - n + \sum_{i=1}^n 1 \right] = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.$$

Case 2: If $D = 2$, then for $v_j \in A_3(v_i)$, $d(v_i, v_j) = 2$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} = n - e_i - \deg(v_i).$$

Hence

$$RCW(G) = \frac{1}{2} \left[n \left(n - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i - m + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{3-j} \right].$$

Conversely,

$$\begin{aligned} RCDN(v_i | G) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &= \sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} + \\ &\quad \sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{1+D-d(v_i, v_j)} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The first summation of Eq. (8) contains the distance between v_i and the vertices on its eccentric path $P(v_i)$. Second summation of Eq. (8) contains the distance between v_i and its neighbor which are not on the eccentric path $P(v_i)$. The third summation of Eq. (8) contains the distance between v_i and a vertex which is neither adjacent to v_i nor on the eccentric path $P(v_i)$. Hence the equality in Eq. (8) holds if and only if $D \leq 2$. It is true for all $v_i \in V(G)$, which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 6. Let G be a self-centered graph with n vertices and radius $r = r(G)$. Then

$$RCW(G) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[n^2 - nr - 2m + \frac{2m-n}{r} + n \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{r-(j-1)} \right].$$

Equality holds if and only if $D \leq 2$.

Proof. Follows by substituting $e_i = e(v_i) = r$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ in Theorem 5. \square

Algorithm: To compute RCW index

Distance matrix of a graph G is a matrix $Dt(G) = [d_{ij}]$ of order n , where $d_{ij} = d(v_i, v_j)$.

Input: Distance matrix of a given graph.

Step 1: Declared $d[i][j]$, $rc[i][j]$, $D = 0$, $RCW = 0$, $Sum = 0$.

Step 2: Read the distance matrix of order n .

Step 3: For $i \rightarrow 1$ to n

 For $j \rightarrow 1$ to n

 if $(d[i][j] > D)$

$D \rightarrow d[i][j]$.

Step 4: For $i \rightarrow 1$ to n

 For $j \rightarrow 1$ to n

 Set $rc[i][j] = 0$ if $i = j$ and $rc[i][j] = 1/(1+D - d_{ij})$, otherwise.

$Sum = Sum + rc[i][j]$.

Step 5: Compute $RCW = Sum$ divided by 2.

Step 6: Display RCW .

Output: RCW index of given graph.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors are thankful to the University Grants Commission (UGC), New Delhi for support through research grant under UPE FAR-II grant No. F 14-3/2012(NS/PE).

REFERENCES

1. F. Buckley, F. Harary, *Distances in Graphs*, Addison-Wesley, Redwood, 1990.
2. X. Cai, B. Zhou, Reciprocal Complementary Wiener number of trees, unicyclic graphs and bicyclic graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **157** (2009) 3046–3054.
3. O. Ivanciuc, QSAR Comparative study of Wiener descriptors for weighted molecular graphs, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **40** (2000) 1412–1422.
4. O. Ivanciuc, T. Ivanciuc, A. T. Balaban, Quantitative structure property relationship evaluation of structural descriptors derived from the distance and reverse Wiener matrices, *Internet Electron. J. Mol. Des.* **1** (2002) 467–487.
5. O. Ivanciuc, T. Ivanciuc, A. T. Balaban, Vertex and edge-weighted molecular graphs and derived structural descriptors, in: J. Devillers, A. T. Balaban (eds.), *Topological Indices and Related Descriptors in QSAR and QSPR*, Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, (1999) 169–220.

6. X. Qi, B. Zhou, Extremal properties of reciprocal complementary Wiener number of trees, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **62** (2011) 523–531.
7. H. S. Ramane, A. B. Ganagi, H. B. Walikar, Wiener index of graphs in terms of eccentricities, *Iranian J. Math. Chem.* **4** (2013) 239–248.
8. H. S. Ramane, V. V. Manjalapur, Reciprocal Wiener index and reciprocal complementary Wiener index of line graphs, *Indian J. Discrete Math.* **1** (2015) 23–32.
9. H. S. Ramane, V. V. Manjalapur, Some bounds for Harary index of graphs, *Int. J. Sci. Engg. Res.* **7** (2016) 26–31.
10. N. Trinajstić, *Chemical Graph Theory*, 2nd revised ed., CRC Press. Boca Raton, 1992.
11. H. Wiener, Structural determination of paraffin boiling points, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **69** (1947) 17–20.
12. K. Xu, M. Liu, K. C. Das, I. Gutman, B. Furtula, A survey on graphs extremal with respect distance based topological indices, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **71** (2004) 461–508.
13. B. Zhou, X. Cai, N. Trinajstić, On reciprocal complementary Wiener number, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **157** (2009) 1628–1633.
14. Y. Zhu, F. Wei, F. Li, Reciprocal complementary Wiener numbers of non-caterpillars, *Appl. Math.* **7** (2016) 219–226.