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1. Introduction

All graphs in this paper are assumed to be undirected, finite and simple and connected. We refer to [5] for graph theoretical notation and terminology not specified here. For a graph $G$, let $V(G), E(G)$ and $e(G) = |E(G)|$ denote the set of vertices, the set of edges and the size of $G$, respectively.
If $S$ is a vertex-subset of a graph $G$, the subgraph of $G$ induced by $S$ is denoted by $G[S]$. We denote by $E_G[X,Y]$ the set of edges of $G$ with one end in $X$ and the other in $Y$. If $X = \{x\}$, we simply write $E_G[x,Y]$ for $E_G[\{x\},Y]$. The connectivity of a graph $G$, written $\kappa(G)$, is the order of a minimum vertex-subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $G - S$ is disconnected or has only one vertex. Thus, if $G$ is connected, then $\kappa(G) \geq 1$; if $G$ has cut vertices, then $\kappa(G) = 1$.

The introduction is divided into the three subsections, in order to state the motivations and results of this paper.

### 1.1 Distance and Its Generalization

Distance is one of the basic concepts of graph theory [6]. If $G$ is a connected graph and $u,v \in V(G)$, then the distance $d(u,v)$ between $u$ and $v$ is the length of a shortest path connecting $u$ and $v$.

The distance between two vertices $u$ and $v$ in a connected graph $G$ also equals the minimum size of a connected subgraph of $G$ containing both $u$ and $v$. This observation suggests a generalization of the distance concept. The Steiner distance of a graph, introduced by Chartrand et al. in 1989 [8], is a natural generalization of the classical graph distance. For a graph $G(V,E)$ and a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ of at least two vertices, an $S$-Steiner tree or a Steiner tree connecting $S$ (or simply, an $S$-tree) is a subgraph $T(V',E')$ of $G$ that is a tree with $S \subseteq V'$. Then the Steiner distance $d_G(S)$ of the vertices of $S$ (or simply the distance of $S$) is the minimum size of all connected subgraphs whose vertex sets contain $S$. Observe that $d_G(S) = \min\{d(T)|S \subseteq V(T)\}$, where $T$ is subtree of $G$. Furthermore, if $S = \{u,v\}$, then $d_G(S)$ coincides with the classical distance between $u$ and $v$.

**Observation 1.1** Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$ and $k$ be an integer, $2 \leq k \leq n$. If $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $|S| = k$, then $k - 1 \leq d_G(S) \leq n - 1$.

The average Steiner distance $\mu_k(G)$ of a graph $G$, introduced by Dankelmann et al. [9, 10], is defined as the average of the Steiner distances of all $k$-subsets of $V(G)$, i.e.,

$$\mu_k(G) = \left(\binom{n}{k}\right)^{-1} \sum_{S \subseteq V(G), |S| = k} d_G(S) . \quad (1.1)$$

Let $n$ and $k$ be integers such that $2 \leq k \leq n$. The Steiner $k$-eccentricity $e_k(v)$ of a vertex $v$ of $G$ is defined by $e_k(v) = \max\{d(S)|S \subseteq V(G), |S| = k, v \in S\}$. The Steiner $k$-radius of $G$ is $srad_k(G) = \min\{e_k(v)|v \in V(G)\}$, whereas the Steiner $k$-diameter of $G$ is $sdiam_k(G) = \max\{e_k(v)|v \in V(G)\}$. Note that for any vertex $v$ of any connected graph
$G, e_2(v) = e(v)$, and in addition $srad_2(G) = \text{rad}(G)$ and $sdiam_2(G) = \text{diam}(G)$. For more details on Steiner distance, we refer to [3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 17, 25, 29].

Mao [25] obtained the following results. By $\Delta(G)$ we denote the greatest degree of a vertex of $G$.

**Lemma 1.1** [25] Let $G$ be a connected graph with connected complement $\overline{G}$. If $sdiam_k(G) \geq 2k$, then $sdiam_2(\overline{G}) \leq k$.

**Lemma 1.2** [25] Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$. Then $sdiam_3(G) = 2$ if and only if $0 \leq \Delta(\overline{G}) \leq 1$.

**Lemma 1.3** [25] Let $n, k$ be integers such that $2 \leq k \leq n$, and let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$. If $sdiam_k(G) = k - 1$, then $0 \leq \Delta(\overline{G}) \leq k - 2$.

**Lemma 1.4** [25] Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$ with connected complement. Let $k$ be an integer such that $3 \leq k \leq n$. Let $x = 0$ if $n \geq 2k - 2$ and $x = 1$ if $n < 2k - 2$. Then

1. $2k - 1 - x \leq sdiam_k(G) + sdiam_k(\overline{G}) \leq \max\{n + k - 1, 4k - 2\}$;
2. $(k - 1)(k - x) \leq sdiam_k(G) \cdot sdiam_k(\overline{G}) \leq \max\{k(n - 1), (2k - 1)^2\}$.

**Lemma 1.5** [25] Let $G$ be a graph. Then $sdiam_{n-1}(G) = n - 2$ if and only if $G$ is 2-connected.

The following corollary is immediate from the above lemmas.

**Corollary 1.1** [28] Let $G$ and $\overline{G}$ be connected graphs. If $sdiam_3(G) \geq 6$, then $sdiam_3(\overline{G}) = 3$.

### 1.2 Wiener INDEX and its GENERALIZATION

The **Wiener index** is defined as the sum of ordinary distances of all pairs of vertices of the underlying graph, i.e., as $W(G) = \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} d(u,v)$ and its mathematical theory is nowadays well elaborated. For details see the surveys [13, 34].

Li et al. [22] generalized the concept of Wiener index using Steiner distance, by defining the Steiner k-Wiener index $SW_k(G)$ of the connected graph $G$ as

$$SW_k(G) = \sum_{S \subseteq V(G)} d_G(S).$$
However, with regard to this definition, one should bear in mind Eq. (1.1), and the references [9, 10].

For \( k = 2 \), the Steiner Wiener index coincides with the ordinary Wiener index. It is usual to consider \( SW_k \) for \( 2 \leq k \leq n - 1 \), but the above definition implies \( SW_1(G) = 0 \) and \( SW_n(G) = n - 1 \).

An application in chemistry of the Steiner Wiener index was reported in [18]. Expressions for \( SW_k \) for some special graphs were reported in [22]. Li et al. [22] also gave sharp upper and lower bounds on \( SW_k \), and established some of its properties in the case of trees. For more details on the Steiner Wiener index, we refer to [18, 22, 23, 27].

### 1.3 Harary Index and its Generalization

The Harary index \( H(G) \) of \( G \) is defined by \( H(G) = \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} \frac{1}{d_G(u,v)} \). For more details on the Harary index, we refer to [4, 21, 24, 33].

Furtula et al. [15] introduced the concept of Steiner Harary index. The Steiner Harary \( k \)-index or \( k \)-center Steiner Harary index \( SH_k(G) \) of \( G \) is defined as

\[
SH_k(G) = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq V(G) \mid |S| = k}} \frac{1}{d_G(S)}.
\]

For \( k = 2 \), the above defined Steiner Harary index coincides with the ordinary Harary index. It is usual to consider \( SH_k \) for \( 2 \leq k \leq n - 1 \), but the above definition implies \( SH_1(G) = 0 \) and \( SH_n(G) = \frac{1}{n-1} \).

The following results will be needed later.

**Lemma 1.6** [26] Let \( T \) be a tree of order \( n \), and let \( k \) be an integer such that \( 2 \leq k \leq n \). Then

\[
n \sum_{k-1 \leq t \leq n-1} \frac{1}{t} \left( t - 1 \right) \left( k - 2 \right) - \left( n - 1 \right) \leq SH_k(T) \leq \frac{kn - n + k \left( n - 1 \right)}{k^2(k - 1) \left( k - 1 \right)}.
\]

Moreover, among all trees of order \( n \), the star \( S_n \) maximizes the Steiner Harary \( k \)-index whereas the path \( P_n \) minimizes the Steiner Harary \( k \)-index.

**Lemma 1.7** [26] Let \( P_n \) be the path of order \( n \) \( (n \geq 3) \), and let \( k \) be an integer such that \( 2 \leq k \leq n \). Then
\[ SH_k(P_n) = n \sum_{k-1 \leq t \leq n-1} \frac{1}{t} \binom{t-1}{k-2} - \binom{n-1}{k-1}. \]

## 2. Main Results

Let \( f(G) \) be a graph invariant and \( n \) a positive integer, \( n \geq 2 \). The **Nordhaus–Gaddum Problem** is to determine sharp bounds for \( f(G) + f(\overline{G}) \) and \( f(G) \cdot f(\overline{G}) \), as \( G \) ranges over the class of all graphs of order \( n \), and to characterize the extremal graphs, i.e., graphs that achieve the bounds. Nordhaus–Gaddum type relations have received wide attention; see the recent survey [2] by Aouchiche and Hansen.

Denote by \( \mathcal{G}(n) \) the class of connected graphs of order \( n \) whose complements are also connected. In the studies of Nordhaus–Gaddum–type relations it must be assumed that \( f(G) \) and \( f(\overline{G}) \) exist. Therefore, such relations are examined in the case of Wiener and Steiner Wiener indices, one must restrict the consideration to the class \( \mathcal{G}(n) \), \( n \geq 2 \).

Mao et al. [28] studied the Nordhaus-Gaddum type results for the Wiener index. In this paper, we investigate the analogous problem for the Steiner Harary index. Our basic idea is from [28].

### 2.1 Results Pertaining to General \( k \)

For general \( k \), we obtain the following result:

**Theorem 2.1** Let \( G \in \mathcal{G}(n) \) and let \( k \) be an integer such that \( 3 \leq k \leq n \). Then:

1. \( \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right) \frac{2k-2}{\max\{k(n-1), (2k-1)^2\}} \leq SH_k(G) + SH_k(\overline{G}) \leq \frac{\binom{n+k-2}{n}}{\binom{k-1}{2}}. \)

2. \( \frac{1}{\max\{k(n-1), (2k-1)^2\}} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right)^2 \leq SH_k(G) \cdot SH_k(\overline{G}) \leq \frac{1}{\binom{k-1}{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right)^2. \)

Moreover, the lower bounds are sharp.

**Proof.** Proof of part (1):

For any \( S \subseteq V(G) \) and \( |S| = k \), from the definition of Steiner diameter, we have \( d_G(S) + d_{\overline{G}}(S) \leq \max\{n + k - 2, 2k - 2\} = n + k - 2 \). Then
By the same reason, Lemma 1.4 implies

\[ SH_k(G) + SH_k(\overline{G}) = \sum_{s \subseteq V(G)} \frac{1}{d_G(s)} + \sum_{s \subseteq V(\overline{G})} \frac{1}{d_{\overline{G}}(s)} = \sum_{s \subseteq V(\overline{G})} \frac{d_G(s) + d_\overline{G}(s)}{d_G(s) d_{\overline{G}}(s)} \leq \frac{(n + k - 2) {n \choose k}}{(k - 1)^2}. \]

Proof of part (2):

For any \( s' \subseteq V(G), |s'| = k \) and any \( s'' \subseteq V(\overline{G}), |s''| = k \), from the definition of Steiner diameter and Lemma 1.4, we have \( d_G(s') \cdot d_{\overline{G}}(s'') \leq \max\{k(n-1), (2k-1)^2\} \). Then

\[ SH_k(G) \cdot SH_k(\overline{G}) = \sum_{s' \subseteq V(G)} \frac{1}{d_G(s')} \cdot \sum_{s'' \subseteq V(\overline{G})} \frac{1}{d_{\overline{G}}(s'')} = \sum_{s' \subseteq V(G), s'' \subseteq V(\overline{G})} \frac{1}{d_G(s')} \cdot \frac{1}{d_{\overline{G}}(s'')} \geq \frac{1}{\max\{k(n-1), (2k-1)^2\}} {n \choose k}^2. \]

For any \( s' \subseteq V(G), |s'| = k \) and any \( s'' \subseteq V(\overline{G}), |s''| = k \), from the definition of Steiner diameter and Lemma 1.4, we have \( d_G(s') \cdot d_{\overline{G}}(s'') \geq (k-1)^2 \). Then

\[ SH_k(G) \cdot SH_k(\overline{G}) = \sum_{s' \subseteq V(G)} \frac{1}{d_G(s')} \cdot \sum_{s'' \subseteq V(\overline{G})} \frac{1}{d_{\overline{G}}(s'')} = \sum_{s' \subseteq V(G), s'' \subseteq V(\overline{G})} \frac{1}{d_G(s')} \cdot \frac{1}{d_{\overline{G}}(s'')} \leq \frac{1}{(k-1)^2} {n \choose k}^2, \]

as desired.

3. For some \( k \)

For \( k = n, n-1, 3 \), we can improve the results in Theorem 2.1.

3.1 The Case \( k = n, n-1 \)

For \( k = n \), the following result is immediate.

Observation 3.1 Let \( G \in \mathcal{G}(n) \). Then
(1) \( SH_n(G) + SH_n(\overline{G}) = \frac{2}{n-1} \); 
(2) \( SH_n(G) \cdot SH_n(\overline{G}) = \frac{1}{(n-1)^2} \).

Akiyama and Harary [1] characterized the graphs for which both \( G \) and \( \overline{G} \) are connected.

**Lemma 3.1** [1] Let \( G \) be graph with \( n \) vertices and maximal vertex degree \( \Delta(G) \). Then \( \kappa(G) = \kappa(\overline{G}) = 1 \) if and only if \( G \) satisfies the following conditions.

i. \( \kappa(G) = 1 \) and \( \Delta(G) = n - 2 \);

ii. \( \kappa(G) = 1, \Delta(G) \leq n - 3 \), and \( G \) has a cut vertex \( v \) with pendent edge \( uv \), such that \( G - u \) contains a spanning complete bipartite subgraph.

For \( k = n - 1 \), we have the following result:

**Proposition 3.1** Let \( G \) be a graph of order \( n \) (\( n \geq 5 \)).

1. If \( G \) and \( \overline{G} \) are both 2-connected, then 
   \[ SH_{n-1}(G) + SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) = \frac{2n}{n-2} \] 
   and 
   \[ SH_{n-1}(G) \cdot SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) = \frac{n^2}{(n-2)^2} \]

2. If \( \kappa(G) = 1 \) and \( \overline{G} \) is 2-connected, then 
   \[ SH_{n-1}(G) + SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) = \frac{p}{n-1} + \frac{2n-p}{n-2} \] 
   and 
   \[ SH_{n-1}(G) \cdot SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) = \frac{pn}{(n-1)(n-2)} + \frac{n(n-p)}{(n-2)^2}, \] 
   where \( p \) is the number of cut vertices in \( G \).

3. If \( \kappa(G) = \kappa(\overline{G}) = 1, \Delta(G) \leq n - 3 \), and \( G \) has a cut vertex \( v \) with pendent edge \( uv \) such that \( G - u \) contains a spanning complete bipartite subgraph, and 
   \( \Delta(\overline{G}) \leq n - 3 \) and \( \overline{G} \) has a cut vertex \( q \) with pendent edge \( pq \) such that \( G - p \) contains a spanning complete bipartite subgraph, then 
   \[ SH_{n-1}(G) + SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) = \frac{2n^2-2n-2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \] 
   and 
   \[ SH_{n-1}(G) \cdot SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) = \frac{(n^2-n-1)^2}{(n-1)^2(n-2)^2} \]

4. If \( \kappa(G) = \kappa(\overline{G}) = 1, \Delta(G) = n - 2, \Delta(\overline{G}) \leq n - 3 \) and \( G \) has a cut vertex \( v \) with pendent edge \( uv \) such that \( G - u \) contains a spanning complete bipartite subgraph, then 
   \[ SH_{n-1}(G) + SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) = \frac{2n^2-2n-2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \] or 
   \[ SH_{n-1}(G) + \]
\[SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) = \frac{2n^2 - 2n - 3}{(n-1)(n-2)} \quad \text{and} \quad SH_{n-1}(G) \cdot SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) = \frac{(n^2 - n - 1)^2}{(n-1)^2(n-2)^2} \quad \text{or} \quad \]
\[SH_{n-1}(G) \cdot SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) = \frac{(n^2 - n - 1)(n+1)}{(n-1)^2(n-2)^2}.\]

5. If \( \kappa(G) = \kappa(\overline{G}) = 1 \), \( \Delta(G) = \Delta(\overline{G}) = n - 2 \), then \( \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1} \leq SH_{n-1}(G) + SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) \leq \frac{(n^2 - n - 1)^2}{(n-1)^2(n-2)^2}. \)

**Proof.** (1): From Lemma 1.5, if \( G \) and \( \overline{G} \) are both connected, then \( d_G(S) = n - 2 \) and \( d_{\overline{G}}(S) = n - 2 \) for any \( S \subseteq V(G) \) and \( |S| = n - 1 \). Therefore, \( SH_{n-1}(G) + SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) = \frac{2n}{n-2} \) and \( SH_{n-1}(G) \cdot SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) = \frac{n^2}{(n-2)^2} \).

(2): Since \( \overline{G} \) is 2-connected, it follows that \( d_G(S) = n - 2 \) for any \( S \subseteq V(G) \) and \( |S| = n - 1 \), and hence \( SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) = \frac{n}{n-2} \). Note that \( \kappa(G) = 1 \) and there are exactly \( p \) cut vertices in \( G \). For any \( S \subseteq V(G) \) and \( |S| = n - 1 \), if the unique vertex in \( V(G) \setminus S \) is a cut vertex, then \( d_G(S) = n - 1 \). If the unique vertex in \( V(G) \setminus S \) is not a cut vertex, then \( d_G(S) = n - 2 \). Therefore, we have \( SH_{n-1}(G) = \frac{p}{n-1} + \frac{n-p}{n-2} \), and hence \( SH_{n-1}(G) + SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) = \frac{p}{n-1} + \frac{2n-p}{n-2} \) and \( SH_{n-1}(G) \cdot SH_{n-1}(\overline{G}) = \frac{pn}{(n-1)(n-2)} + \frac{n(n-p)}{(n-2)^2} \), where \( p \) is the number of cut vertices in \( G \).

(3), (4), (5): We have \( \kappa(G) = \kappa(\overline{G}) = 1 \). By condition \((i)\) of Lemma 3.1, since \( \Delta(G) = n - 2 \), there is a vertex of degree \( n - 2 \), say \( x \). Let the set of first neighbors of \( x \) be \( N_G(x) = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-2}\} \). Let \( V(G) \setminus \{x\} \cup N_G(x) = \{z\} \). Since \( zx \notin E(G) \), there must exist a vertex in \( N_G(x) \), say \( y_1 \), such that \( zy_1 \in E(G) \), since \( G \) is connected. Since \( x, y_1 \) may be the cut vertices in \( G \), it follows that there are one or two cut vertices in \( G \). So

\[SH_{n-1}(G) = \frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{n-1}{n-2} = \frac{n^2-n-1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \quad \text{or} \quad SH_{n-1}(G) = \frac{2}{n-1} + \frac{n-2}{n-2} = \frac{n+1}{n-1}.\]

By condition \((ii)\) of Lemma 3.1, since \( \Delta(G) \leq n - 3 \) and \( G \) has a cut vertex \( v \) with pendent edge \( uv \) such that \( G - u \) contains a spanning complete bipartite subgraph, it follows that \( v \) is the unique cut vertex. So \( SH_{n-1}(G) = \frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{n-1}{n-2} = \frac{n^2-n-1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \). From this argument, (3), (4), (5) are true.

3.2 **The Case** \( k = 3 \)

The following lemmas and corollaries will be used later.
Lemma 3.2 [28] Let $T$ be a tree of order $n$, and let $k$ be an integer such that $3 \leq k \leq n$. Then there exist at least $(n - k + 1)$ subsets of $V(T)$ for which the Steiner $k$-distance is equal to $k - 1$.

Corollary 3.1 [28] Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$, and let $k$ be an integer such that $3 \leq k \leq n$. Then there exist at least $(n - k + 1)$ subsets of $V(G)$ whose Steiner $k$-distance is $k - 1$.

Lemma 3.3 [28] Let $T$ be a tree of order $n$, and let $k$ be an integer such that $3 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Then there exist at least $(n - k)$ subsets of $V(T)$ whose Steiner $k$-distance is $k$.

In this section, we focus our attention on the case $k = 3$. For $k = 3$ and $n \geq 10$, from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$SH_3(G) + SH_3(\overline{G}) \leq \frac{n - 3}{n - 1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{i}{2} \left( \frac{n^2 - 23n + 20}{6} \right)$$

We improve these bounds and prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1 Let $G \in \mathcal{G}(n)$ with $n \geq 4$. Then

1. \[ \frac{5}{6} \binom{n}{3} \geq \frac{1}{4} \binom{n+1}{3} \leq SH_3(G) + SH_3(\overline{G}) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{7}{10} \binom{n}{3} + \frac{11}{60} n - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n = 6,7 \text{ and } sdiam_3(G) = 5 \\ \frac{1}{2} \binom{n-3}{3} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{i}{2} \left( \frac{n^2 - 23n + 20}{6} \right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

2. \[ \frac{25}{144} \left( \binom{n}{3} \right)^2 \geq SH_3(G) \cdot SH_3(\overline{G}) \geq \left[ \frac{1}{n - 1} \binom{n}{3} + \frac{(n-3)(n-2)}{2(n-1)} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{3} - \frac{(n-3)(n-2)}{2(n-1)} \right]. \]

Moreover, the bounds are sharp.

We first need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4 [28] Let $G$ be a connected graph. If $sdiam_3(G) = 5$, then $sdiam_3(\overline{G}) \leq 4$.

Lemma 3.5 Let $G \in \mathcal{G}(n)$. Then

\[ SH_3(G) + SH_3(\overline{G}) \leq \frac{5}{6} \binom{n}{3} \quad (3.1) \]

\[ SH_3(G) \cdot SH_3(\overline{G}) \leq \frac{25}{144} \binom{n}{3}^2 \quad (3.2) \]
and

\[ \text{SH}_3(G) \cdot \text{SH}_3(\overline{G}) \geq \left[ \frac{1}{n-1} \binom{n}{3} + \frac{(n-3)(n-2)}{2(n-1)} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{3} - \frac{(n-3)(n-2)}{2(n-1)} \right]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

Moreover, the bounds are sharp.

**Proof.** (1) For any \( S \subseteq V(G) \) and \( |S| = 3 \), \( G[S] \cong K_3 \) or \( G[S] \cong P_3 \) or \( G[S] \cong K_3 \cup K_1 \) or \( G[S] \cong 3K_1 \). If \( G[S] \cong K_3 \) or \( G[S] \cong P_3 \), then \( d_G(S) = 2 \). If \( G[S] \cong K_3 \cup K_1 \) or \( G[S] \cong 3K_1 \), then \( d_G(S) \geq 3 \). Let \( S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_{\binom{n}{3}} \) be all the 3-subsets of \( V(G) \). Without loss of generality, let \( S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_x \) be all the 3-subsets of \( V(G) \) such that \( G[S_i] \cong K_3 \) or \( G[S_i] \cong P_3 \), where \( 1 \leq i \leq x \). Therefore, \( d_G(S_i) = 2 \) and \( d_{\overline{G}}(S_i) \geq 3 \) for each \( i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq x \).

Furthermore, for any \( S_j \) \((x+1 \leq j \leq \binom{n}{3})\), we have

\[ \text{SH}_3(G) \leq \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\binom{n}{3} - x}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \binom{n}{3} + \frac{x}{6} \]

\[ \text{SH}_3(\overline{G}) \leq \frac{x}{3} + \frac{\binom{n}{3} - x}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{3} - \frac{x}{6} \]

\[ \text{SH}_3(G) \geq \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\binom{n}{3} - x}{n-1} = \frac{1}{n-1} \binom{n}{3} + \frac{(n-3)x}{2(n-1)} \]

and

\[ \text{SH}_3(\overline{G}) \geq \frac{x}{n-1} + \frac{\binom{n}{3} - x}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{3} - \frac{(n-3)x}{2(n-1)} \]

implying inequality (3.1).

By Corollary 3.1, there exist at least \( n-2 \) subsets of \( V(G) \) whose Steiner 3-distances are equal to 2. The same is true for \( \overline{G} \). Therefore, \( n-2 \leq x \leq \binom{n}{3} - n + 2 \), and hence

\[ \text{SH}_3(G) \cdot \text{SH}_3(\overline{G}) \leq \left[ \frac{1}{3} \binom{n}{3} + \frac{x}{6} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{3} - \frac{x}{6} \right] \]

\[ = \frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{3}^2 + \frac{x}{36} \binom{n}{3}^2 - \frac{x^2}{36} \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{36} \left[ 6 \binom{n}{3}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \binom{n}{3}^2 \right] \]

\[ = \frac{25}{144} \binom{n}{3}^2 \]

i.e., inequality (3.2) holds.
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\[ SH_3(G) \cdot SH_3(G) \geq \left[ \frac{1}{n-1} \binom{n}{3} + \frac{(n-3)x}{2(n-1)} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{3} - \frac{(n-3)x}{2(n-1)} \right] \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \binom{n}{3}^2 + \frac{(n-3)^2x}{4(n-1)^2} \binom{n}{3} - \frac{(n-3)^2x^2}{4(n-1)^2} \]

\[ \geq \left[ \frac{1}{n-1} \binom{n}{3} + \frac{(n-3)(n-2)}{2(n-1)} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{3} - \frac{(n-3)(n-2)}{2(n-1)} \right] \]

i.e., inequality (3.3) holds.

The sharpness of the above bounds is illustrated by the following example.

**Example 3.2** Let \( G \cong P_4 \). Then \( \bar{G} \cong P_4 \). By Lemma 1.7, \( SH_3(G) = SH_3(\bar{G}) = \frac{5}{3} \), and hence \( SH_3(G) + SH_3(\bar{G}) = \frac{10}{3} = \frac{5}{3} \binom{n}{3} \) and \( SH_3(G) \cdot SH_3(\bar{G}) = \frac{25}{9} = \frac{25}{144} \left( \binom{n}{3} \right)^2 = \left[ \frac{1}{n-1} \binom{n}{3} + \frac{(n-3)(n-2)}{2(n-1)} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{3} - \frac{(n-3)(n-2)}{2(n-1)} \right] \), which confirms that the lower and upper bounds are sharp.

Let \( S^* \) be a tree obtained from a star of order \( n - 2 \) and a path of length 2 by identifying the center of the star and a vertex of degree one in the path. Then \( \bar{S}^* \) is a graph obtained from a clique of order \( n - 1 \) by deleting an edge \( uv \) and then adding an pendant edge at \( v \).

**Observation 3.2**

1. \( SH_3(S^*) = \frac{13}{12} \binom{n-3}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \binom{n-3}{3} + \frac{7}{6} n - 3; \)

2. \( SH_3(\bar{S}^*) = \frac{4}{3} \binom{n-3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{n-3}{3} + \frac{4}{3} n - \frac{11}{3} \)

**Proof.** From the structure of \( S^* \) and \( \bar{S}^* \), we conclude

\[ SH_3(S^*) = \frac{1}{4} \binom{n-3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \binom{n-3}{2} + (n-3) + 1 \right] \]

\[ + \frac{1}{3} \left[ \binom{n-3}{2} + \binom{n-3}{3} + 2(n-3) \right] \]

\[ = \frac{13}{12} \binom{n-3}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \binom{n-3}{3} + \frac{7}{6} n - 3 \]

and
$$SH_3(S^*) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 2 \binom{n-3}{2} + 2(n-3) + \binom{n-3}{3} \right] + \frac{1}{3} \left[ \binom{n-3}{2} + (n-2) \right]$$
$$= \frac{4}{3} \binom{n-3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{n-3}{3} + \frac{4}{3} n - \frac{11}{3}.$$  

In order to show the sharpness of the above bounds, we consider the following example.

**Example 3.3** Let $S^*$ be the same tree as before. From Observation 3.2, we have

$$SH_3(S^*) + SH_3(S^*) = 29 \binom{n-3}{2} + 5 \binom{n-3}{3} + \frac{15}{6} n - \frac{20}{3}$$

and

$$SH_3(S^*) \cdot SH_3(S^*) = \frac{52}{36} \binom{n-3}{2}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \binom{n-3}{3}^2 + \frac{71}{72} \binom{n-3}{2} \binom{n-3}{3}$$
$$+ \left( \frac{27}{9} n - \frac{287}{36} \right) \binom{n-3}{2} + \left( \frac{37}{36} n - \frac{49}{18} \right) \binom{n-3}{3}$$
$$+ \left( \frac{4}{3} n - \frac{11}{3} \right) 7 \binom{n-3}{3}.$$  

The following lemmas are preparations for deducing an upper bound on $SH_3(G) + SH_3(\overline{G}).$

**Lemma 3.6** Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$, and let $T$ be a spanning tree of $G$. If $sdiam_3(\overline{G}) = 3$, then

$$SH_3(T) + SH_3(\overline{T}) \leq SH_3(G) + SH_3(\overline{G}).$$

**Proof.** Note that $\overline{G}$ is a spanning subgraph of $\overline{T}$. It suffices to prove that

$$SH_3(\overline{T}) - SH_3(\overline{G}) \leq SH_3(G) - SH_3(T).$$

Since $sdiam_3(\overline{G}) = 3$, it follows that $d_{\overline{G}}(S) = 2$ or $d_{\overline{G}}(S) = 3$ for any $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $|S| = 3$. Since $\overline{G}$ is a spanning subgraph of $\overline{T}$ and $sdiam_3(\overline{G}) = 3$, it follows that $sdiam_3(\overline{T}) \leq 3$, and hence $d_{\overline{T}}(S) = 2$ or $d_{\overline{T}}(S) = 3$ for any $S \subseteq V(T)$ and $|S| = 3$. Then $0 \leq \frac{1}{d_{\overline{T}}(S)} - \frac{1}{d_{\overline{G}}(S)} \leq \frac{1}{6}$. We claim that $\frac{1}{d_{\overline{T}}(S)} - \frac{1}{d_{\overline{G}}(S)} \leq \frac{1}{d_{\overline{G}}(S)} - \frac{1}{d_{\overline{T}}(S)}$ for $S \subseteq V(T)$ and
\[ |S| = 3. \] Because \( \overline{G} \) is a spanning subgraph of \( \overline{T} \), \[ \frac{1}{d_{\overline{G}}(S)} \leq \frac{1}{d_{\overline{T}}(S)} \] for any \( S \subseteq V(T) \) and \[ |S| = 3. \] Similarly, since \( T \) is a spanning subgraph of \( G \), \[ \frac{1}{d_{\overline{T}}(S)} \leq \frac{1}{d_{\overline{G}}(S)} \] for any \( S \subseteq V(T) \) and \[ |S| = 3. \] If \[ \frac{1}{d_{\overline{T}}(S)} - \frac{1}{d_{\overline{G}}(S)} = 0 \] and \( d_{\overline{T}}(S) = 2 \), then \( d_{\overline{G}}(S) = 2 \) and \( d_{\overline{T}}(S) \geq 3. \) Therefore, \[ \frac{1}{d_{\overline{G}}(S)} - \frac{1}{d_{\overline{T}}(S)} \geq \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{d_{\overline{T}}(S)} - \frac{1}{d_{\overline{G}}(S)}, \] as desired. The result follows from the arbitrariness of \( S \) and the definition of Steiner Wiener index.

**Lemma 3.7** Let \( T \) be a tree of order \( n \), different from the star \( S_n \). Let \( S^* \) be the tree same as in Observation 3.2. If \( sdiam_3(\overline{G}) = 3 \), then

\[ SH_3(P_n) + SH_3(S^*) \leq SH_3(T) + SH_3(\overline{T}). \]

**Proof.** Note first that the complements of all trees, except of the star, are connected. Therefore, \( SH_3(\overline{T}) \) in Lemma 3.7 is always well defined.

By Lemma 1.6 and 1.7, \( SH_3(P_n) \leq SH_3(T) \). It suffices to prove \( SH_3(S^*) \leq SH_3(\overline{T}) \). Since \( sdiam_3(\overline{G}) \leq 3 \), it follows that \( sdiam_3(\overline{T}) \leq 3 \). For any \( S \subseteq V(T) \) and \( |S| = 3 \), if \( T[S] \) is not connected, then \( d_{\overline{T}}(S) = 2 \). If \( T[S] \) is connected, then \( d_{\overline{T}}(S) \geq 3 \). So if we want to obtain the minimum value of \( SH_3(\overline{T}) \) for a tree \( T \), then we need to find as less as possible 3-subsets of \( V(T) \) whose induced subgraphs in \( \overline{T} \) are disconnected. Since the complement of \( S_n \) is not connected, it follows that \( S^* \) is our desired. So \( SH_3(S^*) \leq SH_3(\overline{T}) \), and hence \( SH_3(P_n) + SH_3(S^*) \leq SH_3(T) + SH_3(\overline{T}) \).

We are now in the position to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1. This will be achieved by combining Lemmas 3.5 and 3.8.

Let \( G \in \mathcal{G}(n) \). If \( n = 6, 7 \) and \( sdiam_3(G) = 5 \), then the validity of Theorem 3.1 can be verified by direct checking.

**Lemma 3.8** Let \( G \in \mathcal{G}(n) \). Let \( n \geq 8 \), or \( n \leq 5 \), or \( n = 6, 7 \) and \( sdiam_3(G) \neq 5 \), or \( n = 6, 7 \) and \( sdiam_3(\overline{G}) \neq 5 \). Then the lower bounds in parts (1) and (2) of Theorem 3.1 are obeyed. Moreover, these bounds are sharp.

**Proof.** We need to separately examine three cases.
Case 1. $\text{sdiam}_3(G) \geq 6$ or $\text{sdiam}_3(\overline{G}) \geq 6$. Without loss of generality, let $\text{sdiam}_3(G) \geq 6$. From Corollary 1.1 it is known that $\text{sdiam}_3(\overline{G}) = 3$, and hence $SH_3(G) + SH_3(\overline{G}) \geq SH_3(P_n) + SH_3(S_r^r)$. By Lemma 1.7, $SH_3(P_n) = \frac{(n+1)(n-2)}{2} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{n}{i}$. Note that $S^r$ is a graph obtained from a clique of order $n-1$ by deleting an edge $uv$ and then adding a pendant edge at $v$. Then $SH_3(S_r^r) = \frac{4}{3}(n-3) + \frac{1}{2}(n-3) + \frac{4}{3}n - \frac{11}{3}$, and hence $SH_3(G) + SH_3(\overline{G}) \geq \frac{(n+1)(n-2)}{2} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{n}{i} + \frac{4}{3}(n-3) + \frac{1}{2}(n-3) + \frac{4}{3}n - \frac{11}{3} = \frac{1}{2}(n-3) - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{n}{i} + \frac{7n^2-23n+20}{6}$.

Case 2. $\text{sdiam}_3(G) = 5$ or $\text{sdiam}_3(\overline{G}) = 5$. In view of Lemma 3.4, we can assume that $\text{sdiam}_3(G) = 5$ and $\text{sdiam}_3(\overline{G}) \leq 4$. Let $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_3$ be all the 3-subsets of $V(G)$. Without loss of generality, assume that $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_x$ are the 3-subsets of $V(G)$ for which $G[S_i] \cong K_3$ or $G[S_i] \cong P_3$, where $1 \leq i \leq x$.

For each $i$ ($1 \leq i \leq x$), $d_G(S_i) = 2$. For any $S_j$ ($x+1 \leq j \leq \binom{n}{3}$), $G[S_j] \cong K_2 \cup K_1$ or $G[S_j] \cong 3K_1$. Since $G$ is connected, it follows that there exists a spanning tree, say $T$. By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, there exist at least $(n-3)$ subsets of $V(T)$ whose Steiner 3-distance is 3, and there exist at least $(n-2)$ subsets of $V(T)$ whose Steiner 3-distance is 2. Therefore, there exist at least $(2n-5)$ subsets of $V(G)$ whose Steiner 3-distance is at most 3. Without loss of generality, let $d_G(S_j) = 3$ for $S_j$ ($x+1 \leq j \leq 2n-5$). Then $d_G(S_j) \leq 5$ and $d_G(S_i) = 2$ for each $j$ ($2n-4 \leq j \leq \binom{n}{3}$). For each $i$ ($1 \leq i \leq x$), $d_G(S_i) = 2$. By Lemma 3.3, there exist at least $(n-3)$ subsets of $V(\overline{G})$ whose Steiner 3-distance is 3. Then there exist at most $x-(n-3)$ subsets of $V(\overline{G})$ whose Steiner 3-distance is 4. If $x \leq 2n-5$, then $SH_3(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{3}(2n-5-x) + \frac{1}{5}\left(\binom{n}{3} - 2n + 5\right)$ and $SW_3(\overline{G}) \geq \frac{1}{3}(n-3) + \frac{1}{4}(x-n+3) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\binom{n}{3} - x\right)$, and hence $SH_3(G) + SH_3(\overline{G}) \geq \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{5}\left(\binom{n}{3} - x\right)$ and $SH_3(\overline{G}) \geq \frac{7}{10}\binom{n}{3} + \frac{11}{60}n - \frac{1}{12}$. If $x \geq 2n-5$, then $SH_3(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{5}\left(\binom{n}{3} - x\right)$ and $SH_3(\overline{G}) \geq \frac{1}{3}(n-3) + \frac{1}{4}(x-n+3) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\binom{n}{3} - x\right)$, and hence $SH_3(G) + SH_3(\overline{G}) \geq \frac{7}{10}\binom{n}{3} + \frac{11}{20}x + \frac{1}{12}n - \frac{1}{4} \geq \frac{7}{10}\binom{n}{3} + \frac{11}{60}n - \frac{1}{2}$.

Case 3. $\text{sdiam}_3(G) \leq 4$ and $\text{sdiam}_3(\overline{G}) \leq 4$. Let $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_3$ be the 3-subsets of $V(G)$. Without loss of generality, let $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_x$ be the 3-subsets of $V(G)$ for which $G[S_i] \cong K_3$ or
$G[S_i] \cong P_3$, where $1 \leq i \leq x$. For each $i$ ($1 \leq i \leq x$), $d_G(S_i) = 2$. For any $S_j$ ($x + 1 \leq j \leq \binom{n}{3}$), $G[S_j] \cong K_2 \cup K_1$ or $G[S_j] \cong 3K_1$. Since $G$ is connected, there exists a spanning tree, say $T$. By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, there exist at least $(n - 3)$ subsets of $V(T)$ whose Steiner 3-distance is equal to 3, and there exist at least $(n - 2)$ subsets of $V(T)$ whose Steiner 3-distance is 2. Therefore, there exist at least $(2n - 5)$ subsets of $V(G)$ whose Steiner 3-distance is at most 3. Without loss of generality, let $d_G(S_j) = 3$ for $S_j$ ($x + 1 \leq j \leq 2n - 5$). Then $d_G(S_j) \leq 4$ and $d_G(S_j) = 2$ for each $j$ ($2n - 4 \leq j \leq \binom{n}{3}$). For each $i$ ($1 \leq i \leq x$), $d_G(S_i) = 2$. By Lemma 3.3, there exist at least $(n - 3)$ subsets of $V(G)$ whose Steiner 3-distance in $G$ is 3. Then there exist at most $x - (n - 3)$ subsets of $V(G)$ whose Steiner 3-distance in $G$ is 4. If $x \leq 2n - 5$, then $SH_3(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{3}(2n - 5 - x) + \frac{1}{4}\left[\binom{n}{3} - 2n + 5\right]$ and $SH_3(G) \geq \frac{1}{3}(n - 3) + \frac{1}{4}(x - n + 3) + \frac{1}{2}\left[\binom{n}{3} - x\right]$. Thus

$$SH_3(G) + SH_3(G) \geq \frac{3}{4}\binom{n}{3} - \frac{1}{12}x + \frac{1}{4}n - \frac{2}{3} \geq \frac{3}{4}\binom{n}{3} + \frac{1}{12}n - \frac{3}{12}.$$  

If $x \geq 2n - 5$, then $SH_3(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{4}\left[\binom{n}{3} - x\right]$ and $SH_3(G) \geq \frac{1}{3}(n - 3) + \frac{1}{4}(x - n + 3) + \frac{1}{2}\left[\binom{n}{3} - x\right]$. Thus $SH_3(G) + SH_3(G) \geq \frac{3}{4}\binom{n}{3} + \frac{1}{12}n - \frac{3}{12}$.

For $n \geq 6$, one can check that $\frac{1}{2}\left[\binom{n-3}{3}\right] - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{n}{i} + \frac{7n^2 - 23n + 20}{6} \leq \frac{7}{10}\binom{n}{3} + \frac{11}{60}n - \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{3}{4}\binom{n}{3} + \frac{11}{60}n - \frac{3}{12}$ and $\frac{7}{10}\binom{n}{3} + \frac{11}{60}n - \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{3}{4}\binom{n}{3} + \frac{1}{12}n - \frac{3}{12}$. So we only need to consider the lower bounds in Cases 1 and 2.

From the above argument, we conclude the following:

1. For $n \geq 8$, $\frac{1}{2}\left[\binom{n-3}{3}\right] - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{n}{i} + \frac{7n^2 - 23n + 20}{6} \leq \frac{7}{10}\binom{n}{3} + \frac{11}{60}n - \frac{1}{2}$ and $SH_3(G) + SH_3(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\binom{n-3}{3}\right] - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{n}{i} + \frac{7n^2 - 23n + 20}{6}$.

2. For $n \leq 5$, the lower bound in Case 2 does not exist. Then $SH_3(G) + SH_3(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\binom{n-3}{3}\right] - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{n}{i} + \frac{7n^2 - 23n + 20}{6}$.

3. If $n = 6, 7$, $sdiam_3(G) \neq 5$, and $sdiam_3(G) \neq 5$, then $SH_3(G) + SH_3(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\binom{n-3}{3}\right] - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{n}{i} + \frac{7n^2 - 23n + 20}{6}$. 


4. If \( n = 6, 7 \) and \( \text{sdiam}_3(G) = 5 \), or \( n = 6, 7 \) and \( \text{sdiam}_3(G) = 5 \), then
\[
\text{SH}_3(G) + \text{SH}_3(G) \geq \frac{7}{10} \binom{n}{3} + \frac{11}{60} n - \frac{1}{2}.
\]
This completes the proof.

In order to demonstrate the sharpness of the above bounds, we point out the following example.

**Example 3.4** Let \( G \cong P_4 \). Then \( \overline{G} \cong P_4 \). By Lemma 1.1, \( \text{SH}_3(G) = \text{SH}_3(G) = \frac{5}{3} \), and hence \( \text{SH}_3(G) + \text{SH}_3(G) = \frac{10}{3} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{n-3}{3} \right) - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{n}{i} + \frac{7n^2 - 23n + 20}{6} \) and \( \text{SH}_3(G) \cdot \text{SH}_3(G) = \frac{25}{9} = \left[ \frac{1}{n-1} \binom{n}{3} \right] + \left[ \frac{n-3}{2(n-1)} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{3} \right] - \frac{(n-3)(n-2)}{2(n-1)} \right] \), which implies that the upper and lower bounds are sharp.
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