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#### Abstract

The Tutte polynomial of a graph $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ is a polynomial in two variables defined for every undirected graph contains information about how the graph is connected. In this paper a simple formula for computing Tutte polynomial of a benzenoid chain is presented.
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## 1. Introduction

Benzenoid graphs or graph representations of benzenoid hydrocarbons are defined as finite connected plane graphs with no cut-vertices, in which all interior regions are mutually congruent regular hexagons. More details on this important class of molecular graphs can be found in the book of Gutman and Cyvin [1], and in the references cited therein.

Suppose $G$ is an undirected graph, $E=E(G)$ and $v$ is a vertex of $G$. The vertex $v$ is reachable from another vertex $u$ if there is a path in $G$ connecting $u$ and $v$. In this case we write $v \alpha u$. A single vertex is a path of length zero and so $\alpha$ is reflexive. Moreover, we can easily prove that $\alpha$ is symmetric and transitive. So $\alpha$ is an equivalence relation on $V(G)$. The equivalence classes of $\alpha$ is called the connected components of $G$. The Tutte polynomial of a graph $G$ is a polynomial in two variables defined for every undirected graph contains information about how the graph is connected [2-4]. To define we need some notions. The edge contraction $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{uv}$ of the graph G is the graph obtained by merging the vertices u and v and removing the edge $u v$. We write $G-u v$ for the graph where the edge $u v$ is merely removed. Then the Tutte polynomial of $G$ is defined by the recurrence relation $\mathrm{T}[G ; x, y)=\mathrm{T}(G-e ; x, y)+\mathrm{T}(G / \mathrm{e} ; x, y)$ if $e$ is neither a loop nor a bridge with base case $\mathrm{T}(G ; x, y)=x^{i} y^{j}$ if $G$ contains $i$ bridges and $j$ loops and no other edges. In particular,
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$\mathrm{T}(G ; x, y)=1$ if $G$ contains no edges. The importance of the Tutte polynomial $T(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ comes from the algebraic graph theory as a generalization of counting problems related to graph coloring and nowhere-zero flow. It is also the source of several central computational problems in theoretical computer science.

In this paper, the Tutte polynomial of a benzenoid chain $\mathrm{BC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ is computed. This graph is constructed from $r$ linear chains of length $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}$, respectively. Suppose $\mathrm{BC}(\mathrm{h})$ denotes the set of all benzenoid chains with $h$ hexagons.


In Figures 1 and 2, the molecular graph of a linear chain $\mathrm{LC}(\mathrm{h})$ and $\mathrm{BC}(2,3,2,2,4,2,3,2,2)$ is depicted.


Figure 2. The Molecular Graph of a Benzenoid Chain BC(2,3,2,2,4,2,3,2,2).

Throughout this article our notation is standard and taken mainly from the standard book of graph theory.

## 2. Main Results

In this section the Tutte polynomial of a benzenoid chain $G(h)$ is computed. We first notice that, one can define the Tutte polynomial of a graph $G$ as folice thlows:

$$
T(G ; x, y)=\Sigma_{A \subseteq E(G)}(x-1)^{\mathrm{c}(A)-\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{E})}(y-1)^{\mathrm{c}(A)+|A|-|V|} .
$$

Here, $\mathrm{c}(A)$ denotes the number of connected components of the graph $(V, A)$.
Theorem 1. $\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{BC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right)=\mathrm{T}\left(\operatorname{LBC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{n}+1\right) ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right)$.
Proof. We proceed by induction on n to prove

$$
\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{BC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right)=\mathrm{T}\left(\operatorname{LBC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{n}+1\right) ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right),
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{BC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \sim \mathrm{C}_{5} ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right)=\mathrm{T}\left(\operatorname{LBC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{n}+1\right) \sim \mathrm{C}_{5} ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right) .
$$

Clearly the result is valid for $\mathrm{n}=1$. Suppose the validity of result for $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{k}$ and prove it for $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{k}+1$. Our main proof consider two cases that $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=2$ or $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}>2$. If $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=$ 2 then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{BC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}, 2\right) ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right) & =\mathrm{x}^{4} \mathrm{~T}\left(\mathrm{BC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right)+\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{BC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \sim \mathrm{C}_{5} ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right) \\
& =(\mathrm{x} 4+\mathrm{x} 3+\mathrm{x} 2+\mathrm{x}+1) \mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{BC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right) \\
& +\mathrm{y} T\left(\mathrm{BC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}-1\right) \sim \mathrm{C}_{5} ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{T}\left(\operatorname{LBC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{k}+2\right) ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired. On the other hand, by a similar method one can prove that

$$
\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{BC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}, 2\right) \sim \mathrm{C}_{5} ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right)=\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{LBC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{k}+2\right) \sim \mathrm{C}_{5} ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right) .
$$

We now assume that $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}>2$ and the result is valid for m . We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{BC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{~m}+1\right) ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right) & =\left(\mathrm{x}^{4}+\mathrm{x}^{3}+\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{x}+1\right) \mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{BC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{~m}\right) ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right) \\
& +\mathrm{yT}\left(\mathrm{BC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{~m}\right) \sim \mathrm{C}_{5} ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathrm{x}^{4}+\mathrm{x}^{3}+\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{x}+1\right) \mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{LBC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{k}\right) ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right) \\
& +y \mathrm{yT}\left(\mathrm{LBC}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}+m-\mathrm{k}\right) \sim \mathrm{C}_{5} ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes our proof.

Before stating the main result of this paper we notice that if $h=1,2$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(G(0), x, y)=x, \text { where } G(0) \text { is an edge, } \\
& T(G(1), x, y)=x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2. Suppose $G=G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is an arbitrary benzenoid chain in $B C(h)$, where $h$ $=x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots+x_{n}-n+1$. Then for $h>2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(G, x, y) & =\left(\frac{x(J+\sqrt{\Delta})+2(1-x) y}{2 \sqrt{\Delta}}\right)\left(\frac{J+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}\right)^{n} \\
& +\left(\frac{x(-J+\sqrt{\Delta})-2(1-x) y)}{2 \sqrt{\Delta}}\right)\left(\frac{J-\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}\right)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J=x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1+y, \\
& \Delta=\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right)^{2}+y^{2}+2 y\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right)-4 \mathrm{x}^{4} y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 1, it is enough to consider the case when $G=\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{h})$ is a linear benzenoid chain with exactly $h$ hexagons. Define $S(h)=T(G(h), x, y)$. Consider the following five graphs:

- The Graph $G_{1}(h)$ constructed from $G$ by replacing the end hexagon of G by a triangle, Figure 3(ii);
- The Graph $G_{2}(h)$ constructed from $G$ by replacing the end hexagon of G by a quadrangle, Figure 3(iii);
- The Graph $\mathrm{G}_{3}(\mathrm{~h})$ constructed from $G$ by replacing the end hexagon of G by a pentagon, Figure 3(iv);
- The Graph $\mathrm{G}_{4}(\mathrm{~h})$ constructed from $G$ by replacing the end hexagon of G by an edge, Figure 3(v);
- The Graph $G_{5}(\mathrm{~h})$ constructed from $\mathrm{G}_{1}(\mathrm{~h})$ by adding a loop to the middle vertex of the pentagon, Figure 3(vi).
To compute the Tutte polynomial of $G$, we proceed by induction on $h$ and obtain a recurrence relation for $S(h)$. We first notice that $S(l)=\mathrm{x}^{5}+\mathrm{x}^{4}+\mathrm{x}^{3}+\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}$. Define $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{h})=\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{h}-1), \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\right), 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq 5$. By deleting an edge from the end hexagon of $G$ with vertices of degree 2 and applying Theorem 1, we can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(h) & =x^{4} S(h-1)+S_{1}(h-1)=x^{4} S(h-1)+x^{3} S(h-1)+S_{2}(h-1) \\
& =x^{4} S(h-1)+x^{3} S(h-1)+x^{2} S(h-1)+S_{3}(h-1) \\
& =x^{4} S(h-1)+x^{3} S(h-1)+x^{2} S(h-1)+x S(h-1)+S_{4}(h-1) \\
& =x^{4} S(h-1)+x^{3} S(h-1)+x^{2} S(h-1)+x S(h-1)+S(h-1)+S_{5}(h-2) \\
& =\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right) S(h-1)+S_{5}(h-2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(h)=\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right) S(h-1)+S_{5}(h-2) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now calculate $S_{5}(\mathrm{~h}-2)$. To do this, we notice that $S_{5}(\mathrm{~h}-2)$ has a loop. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{5}(\mathrm{~h}-2)=\mathrm{yS} \mathrm{~S}_{1}(\mathrm{~h}-2) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute $\mathrm{S}_{1}(\mathrm{~h}-2)$ we put $\mathrm{h}-1$ in $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{h})=\mathrm{x}^{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~h}-1)+\mathrm{S}_{1}(\mathrm{~h}-1)$. Thus $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{h}-1)=$ $x^{4} S(h-2)+S_{1}(h-2)$. Therefore $S_{1}(h-2)=S(h-1)-x^{4} S(h-2)$. Apply Eqs. (1) and (2), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(h)=\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right) S(h-1)+y S_{1}(h-2) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(h) & =\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right) S(h-1)+y\left(S(h-1)-x^{4} S(h-2)\right) \\
& =\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1+y\right) S(h-1)-x^{4} y S(h-2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $T(G(h), x, y)=\left(y+\frac{x^{5}-1}{x-1}\right) T(G(h-1), x, y)-x^{4} y T(G(h-2), x, y)$. There are several methods in discrete mathematics to solve such a recurrence equation. By applying one of these methods, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(G, x, y) & =\left(\frac{x(J+\sqrt{\Delta})+2(1-x) y}{2 \sqrt{\Delta}}\right)\left(\frac{J+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}\right)^{n} \\
& +\left(\frac{x(-J+\sqrt{\Delta})-2(1-x) y)}{2 \sqrt{\Delta}}\right)\left(\frac{J-\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}\right)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J=x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1+y, \\
& \Delta=\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right)^{2}+y^{2}+2 \mathrm{y}\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right)-4 \mathrm{x}^{4} y,
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes our proof.
(his

Figure 3. A Graph $G(h)$ and Five Types of Graphs Constructed from $G(h)$.
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