# Iranian Journal of Mathematical Chemistry



DOI: 10.22052/IJMC.2024.253802.1781 Vol. 15, No. 1, 2024, pp. 17-25 Research Paper

## Some Basic Properties of the Second Multiplicative Zagreb **Eccentricity Index**

### Mahdieh Azari<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kazerun Branch, Islamic Azad University, P. O. Box: 73135-168, Kazerun, Iran

#### (Dedicated to the memory of Professor Ali Reza Ashrafi.)

#### Keywords:

Topological index, Vertex eccentricity, Tree, Extremal problem, Bound

AMS Subject Classification (2020):

05C12; 05C35; 05C05

#### Article History:

Received: 2 November 2023 Accepted: 23 December 2023

#### Abstract

The second multiplicative Zagreb eccentricity index  $E_2^*(G)$ of a simple connected graph G is expressed as the product of the weights  $\varepsilon_G(a)\varepsilon_G(b)$  over all edges ab of G, where  $\varepsilon_G(a)$ stands for the eccentricity of the vertex a in G. In this paper, some extremal problems on the  $E_2^*$  index over some special graph classes including trees, unicyclic graphs and bicyclic graphs are examined, and the corresponding extremal graphs are characterized. Besides, the relationships between this vertex-eccentricity-based graph invariant and some well-known parameters of graphs and existing graph invariants such as the number of vertices, number of edges, minimum vertex degree, maximum vertex degree, eccentric connectivity index, connective eccentricity index, first multiplicative Zagreb eccentricity index and second multiplicative Zagreb index are investigated.

© 2024 University of Kashan Press. All rights reserved.

#### Introduction 1

In this paper, our focus is on graphs that are finite, simple, and connected. For a given graph G, the symbols V(G) and E(G) show the vertex set and the edge set of G, respectively. The degree  $d_G(a)$  of  $a \in V(G)$  is the number of vertices joined to a with an edge. By  $\delta$  and  $\Delta$ , we mean the minimum degree and maximum degree of G, respectively. A vertex  $a \in V(G)$  is said pendant if  $d_G(a) = 1$ . If  $d_G(a) = d_G(b)$  for all  $a, b \in V(G)$ , then G is said to be regular. If, in addition,  $\Delta = r$ , then G is called r-regular. For positive integers  $r_1, r_2, r_1 \neq r_2$ , we call G is  $(r_1, r_2)$ -semi-regular if the set V(G) can be partitioned to the nonempty subsets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , where  $V_i = \{a \in V(G) : d_G(a) = r_i\}, i \in \{1, 2\}$ . The distance  $d_G(a, b)$  between  $a, b \in V(G)$ is the length of any shortest a - b path in G. The eccentricity  $\varepsilon_G(a)$  of  $a \in V(G)$  is defined as  $\varepsilon_G(a) = \max\{d_G(b,a) : b \in V(G)\}$ . The diameter d(G) and the radius r(G) are defined to

\*Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: mahdie.azari@gmail.com, mahdieh.azari@iau.ac.ir (M. Azari) Academic Editor: Saeid Alikhani

be the sets  $d(G) = \max\{\varepsilon_G(a) : a \in V(G)\}$  and  $r(G) = \min\{\varepsilon_G(a) : a \in V(G)\}$ . The total eccentricity of G is  $\zeta(G) = \sum_{a \in V(G)} \varepsilon_G(a)$ . A non-isolated vertex  $a \in V(G)$  is called universal if  $\varepsilon_G(a) = 1$ . If  $\varepsilon_G(a) = \varepsilon_G(b)$  for all  $a, b \in V(G)$ , then G is said to be self-centered. If, in addition, d(G) = s, then G is called *s*-self-centered.

A topological index is a real-valued parameter that describes the topology of a graph and remains invariant by any isomorphism of a graph. Topological indices are used in organic chemistry as effective tools in  $QSAR^1$ ,  $QSPR^2$  and  $QSTR^3$  investigations [1, 2].

The best-known topological index which is dependent on the eccentricity and degree of vertices in graph is the *eccentric connectivity index*. This invariant was suggested by Sharma et al. [3] in 1997 and formulated by

$$\xi^{c}(G) = \sum_{a \in V(G)} d_{G}(a)\varepsilon_{G}(a) = \sum_{ab \in E(G)} (\varepsilon_{G}(a) + \varepsilon_{G}(b)).$$

The  $\xi^c$  index has been successfully applied to mathematical models of biological activities of different natures. For its basic and general properties and applications, refer to [4–11].

After the introduction of the  $\xi^c$  index, several modifications of this index have been put forward in the literature. The foremost ones are the *first and second Zagreb eccentricity indices* which have been considered by Vukičević and Graovac [12] in 2010. They are formulated for graph G as

$$E_1(G) = \sum_{a \in V(G)} \varepsilon_G(a)^2$$
 and  $E_2(G) = \sum_{ab \in E(G)} \varepsilon_G(a)\varepsilon_G(b).$ 

These indices are considered as the eccentricity version of the well-known first and second Zagreb indices [13, 14]. Further results on them can be seen in [15–22].

The multiplicative version of  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  indices were proposed by De [23] in 2012 as:

$$E_1^*(G) = \prod_{a \in V(G)} \varepsilon_G(a)^2$$
 and  $E_2^*(G) = \prod_{ab \in E(G)} \varepsilon_G(a)\varepsilon_G(b).$ 

The second one,  $E_2^*$ , can also be formulated by

$$E_2^*(G) = \prod_{a \in V(G)} \varepsilon_G(a)^{d_G(a)}.$$

De [23] obtained several bounds on  $E_1^*$  and  $E_2^*$  indices in terms of certain graph parameters. Luo and Wu [24] studied these graph invariants for some families of product graphs. In this paper, our focus is on some basic mathematical properties of the  $E_2^*$  index. At first, we compute the values of  $E_2^*$  index for some specific graphs. Then, we solve some extremal problems concerning to  $E_2^*$  index over some collections of graphs like trees, unicyclic graphs and bicyclic graphs. In addition, we give several new and sharp bounds (upper and lower) on the  $E_2^*$  index which clarify its connection to some previously-introduced indices.

## 2 Extremal properties

In this section, we study some extremal problems on the  $E_2^*$  index over certain graph classes including trees, unicyclic graphs and bicyclic graphs and characterize the extremal graphs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Quantitative Structure-Property Relationship

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Quantitative Structure-Toxicity Relationship

In the rest of the paper,  $\mathcal{T}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_m$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{n,m}$ , stand for the set of trees on n vertices, the set of unicyclic graphs on n vertices, the set of bicyclic graphs on n vertices, the set of connected graphs on n vertices, the set of connected graphs on n vertices and m edges, respectively.

The values of the  $E_2^*$  index for cycle, star, and complete graph on *n* vertices were given in [23] as follows:

$$E_2^*(C_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor^{2n}, \ E_2^*(S_n) = 2^{n-1}, \ E_2^*(K_n) = 1$$

In the following lemma, we give the values of this invariant for a path on n vertices and the complete bipartite graph on r + s vertices. The results can be deduced straightforwardly from the definition and their proofs are hence not given.

Lemma 2.1. The following relations hold.

(i) 
$$E_2^*(P_n) = \begin{cases} (n-1)^2 \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} (n-(i+1))^4 & 2 \mid n, \\ \frac{1}{4} \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (n-i)^4 & 2 \nmid n; \end{cases}$$

(*ii*)  $E_2^*(K_{r,s}) = 4^{rs}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Then

$$E_2^*(T) \ge E_2^*(S_n),$$
 (1)

with equality if and only if  $T \cong S_n$ .

*Proof.* Note that T has no edge ab with  $\varepsilon_T(a) = \varepsilon_T(b) = 1$ , as T contains no cycle and  $n \ge 3$ . Hence for each  $ab \in E(T)$ ,  $\varepsilon_T(a)\varepsilon_T(b) \ge 2$  and we arrive at

$$E_{2}^{*}(T) = \prod_{ab \in E(T)} \varepsilon_{T}(a) \varepsilon_{T}(b) \ge \prod_{ab \in E(T)} 2 = 2^{n-1} = E_{2}^{*}(S_{n}),$$

and (1) follows. The equality occurs in (1) if and only if for each  $ab \in E(T)$ ,  $\varepsilon_T(a) = 1$  and  $\varepsilon_T(b) = 2$ , which implies that  $T \cong S_n$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** For each  $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ ,

$$E_2^*(T) \le E_2^*(P_n),$$
 (2)

with equality if and only if  $T \cong P_n$ .

Proof. Let  $V(T) = \{b_1, b_2, ..., b_n\}$  and d is the diameter of T. If  $T \cong P_n$ , then there is not anything to prove. Hence, suppose that  $T \not\cong P_n$ . Then  $n \ge 4$ ,  $d \le n - 2$ , and T contains more than two pendant vertices. Let  $P_{d+1} : b_1 b_2 ... b_{d+1}$  be a path of length d in T. Let  $\varepsilon_i$  denote the eccentricity of vertex  $b_i$  in T,  $1 \le i \le n$ . Thus  $\varepsilon_i = \max\{d_T(b_i, b_1), d_T(b_i, b_{d+1})\}$ . As T is a tree, vertices  $b_1$  and  $b_{d+1}$  must be pendant. Let  $b_k$   $(k \ne 1, d+1)$  be a pendant vertex incident with  $b_l$  in T. Let  $T' \in T_n$  be derived from T by removing the edge  $b_k b_l$  and joining the vertices  $b_{d+1}$  and  $b_k$  by an edge. So V(T') = V(T) and  $E(T') = (E(T) \setminus \{b_k b_l\}) \cup \{b_k b_{d+1}\}$ . Thus the path  $P_{d+2} : b_1 b_2 ... b_{d+1} b_k$  whose length is d+1 has the maximum length in T'. Let  $\varepsilon'_i = \varepsilon_{T'}(b_i)$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ . Then for each  $1 \le i \le n$ ,  $i \ne k$ , we have

$$\varepsilon_{i}' = \max\{d_{T'}(b_{i}, b_{1}), d_{T'}(b_{i}, b_{k})\} = \max\{d_{T}(b_{i}, b_{1}), d_{T}(b_{i}, b_{d+1}) + 1\}$$
  
$$\geq \max\{d_{T}(b_{i}, b_{1}), d_{T}(b_{i}, b_{d+1})\} = \varepsilon_{i},$$

and  $\varepsilon'_k = d + 1 > d \ge \varepsilon_k$ . This implies that, for each  $b_r b_s \in E(T) \setminus \{b_k b_l\}$ ,  $\varepsilon'_r \varepsilon'_s \ge \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_s$ , and  $\varepsilon'_k \varepsilon'_{d+1} = (d+1)d > d^2 \ge \varepsilon_k \varepsilon_l$ . Now the definition of the  $E_2^*$  index implies,

$$E_{2}^{*}(T') = \prod_{b_{r}b_{s}\in(E(T)\setminus\{b_{k}b_{l}\})\cup\{b_{k}b_{d+1}\}} \varepsilon'_{r}\varepsilon'_{s} = \varepsilon'_{k}\varepsilon'_{d+1} \times \prod_{b_{r}b_{s}\in E(T)\setminus\{b_{k}b_{l}\}} \varepsilon'_{r}\varepsilon'_{s}$$
$$> \varepsilon_{k}\varepsilon_{l} \times \prod_{b_{r}b_{s}\in E(T)\setminus\{b_{k}b_{l}\}} \varepsilon_{r}\varepsilon_{s} = \prod_{b_{r}b_{s}\in E(T)} \varepsilon_{r}\varepsilon_{s} = E_{2}^{*}(T).$$

So  $E_2^*(T') > E_2^*(T)$ . Based on the aforementioned construction, the amount of  $E_2^*(T)$  has been increased. If  $T' \cong P_n$ , then  $E_2^*(T) < E_2^*(T') = E_2^*(P_n)$ , and (2) holds. If  $T' \cong P_n$ , then by repetition of the process as many as necessary, we reach a tree whose maximum degree equals 2, which is  $P_n$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,m}$  and k indicate the number of universal vertices of G. Then

$$E_2^*(G) \ge 2^{2m-k(n-1)},\tag{3}$$

with equality if and only if G has a diameter at most 2.

*Proof.* From the definition of the  $E_2^*$  index,

$$E_2^*(G) = \prod_{a \in V(G)} \varepsilon_G(a)^{d_G(a)} = \prod_{\substack{a \in V(G): \\ \varepsilon_G(a) = 1}} 1^{n-1} \times \prod_{\substack{a \in V(G): \\ \varepsilon_G(a) \ge 2}} \varepsilon_G(a)^{d_G(a)}$$

$$\geq \prod_{\substack{a \in V(G): \\ \varepsilon_G(a) \ge 2}} 2^{d_G(a)} = 2^{\sum_{\substack{a \in V(G): \\ \varepsilon_G(a) \ge 2}} \varepsilon_G(a) \ge 2} = 2^{2m-\sum_{\substack{a \in V(G): \\ \varepsilon_G(a) \ge 2}} \varepsilon_G(a) = 1}$$

$$= 2^{2m-k(n-1)}.$$

from that (3) follows. The equality happens in (3) if and only if vertices of G have eccentricity 1 or 2 which implies that G has diameter at most two.

As a result of Theorem 2.4, we obtain:

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}_m$  have a radius of at least 2. Then

 $E_2^*(G) \ge 4^m,$ 

with equality if and only if G is a 2-self-centered graph.

Now we apply Corollary 2.5 to get a Nordhaus-Gaddum result for the  $E_2^*$  index.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$  with  $n \ge 4$  and connected complement  $\overline{G}$ . Then

$$E_2^*(G)E_2^*(\overline{G}) \ge 2^{n(n-1)},\tag{4}$$

with equality if and only if both G and  $\overline{G}$  are 2-self-centered.

*Proof.* Let G have m edges. Since G and  $\overline{G}$  are connected graphs, both of them have a radius of at least 2. Now by Corollary 2.5, we have

$$E_2^*(G)E_2^*(\overline{G}) \ge 4^m \times 4^{\binom{n}{2}-m} = 2^{n(n-1)},$$

from that the inequality (4) follows. Based on Corollary 2.5, the equality holds in (4) if and only if G and  $\overline{G}$  both are 2-self centered.

It is obvious that, for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ ,  $E_2^*(G) \ge E_2^*(K_n)$ , with equality if and only if  $G \cong K_n$ . Hence, among the members of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ ,  $K_n$  is the unique graph having the minimum amount of the  $E_2^*$  index.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $G \in \mathcal{U}_n$  and  $n \ge 4$ . Then

 $E_2^*(G) \ge 2^{n+1},$ 

and the equality occurs if and only if G is derived from  $S_n$  by adding an edge between two pendant vertices.

*Proof.* The unique member of  $\mathcal{U}_n$  with  $n \ge 4$  vertices and radius 1 is the graph derived from  $S_n$  by adding an edge between two pendant vertices for which we have:

$$E_2^*(G) = (2 \times 2)(1 \times 2)^{n-1} = 2^{n+1}.$$

If  $r(G) \ge 2$ , then by Corollary 2.5 we have

$$E_2^*(G) \ge 4^n = 2^{2n} > 2^{n+1},$$

from which the result holds.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $G \in \mathcal{B}_n$  and  $n \geq 5$ . Then

$$E_2^*(G) \ge 2^{n+3},$$

with equality if and only if G is the graph derived from  $S_n$  by adding two edges.

*Proof.* The unique member of  $\mathcal{B}_n$  with  $n \geq 5$  vertices and radius 1 is the graph derived by adding two edges to  $S_n$  for which we have:

$$E_2^*(G) = (2 \times 2)^2 (1 \times 2)^{n-1} = 2^{n+3}.$$

If  $r(G) \ge 2$ , then Corollary 2.5 implies,

$$E_2^*(G) \ge 4^{n+1} = 2^{2n+2} > 2^{n+3},$$

and the proof is completed.

## 3 Relations with other invariants

In this section, some new and sharp bounds on the  $E_2^*$  index are given. These bounds will reveal the connection between  $E_2^*$  and a number of previously-introduced indices.

**Theorem 3.1.** For each connected graph G,

$$E_1^*(G)^{\frac{6}{2}} \le E_2^*(G) \le E_1^*(G)^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}.$$
(5)

The equality holds on both sides of (5) if and only if G is regular.

*Proof.* Considering the fact that for each vertex  $a \in V(G)$ ,  $\delta \leq d_G(a) \leq \Delta$ , we get

$$E_2^*(G) = \prod_{a \in V(G)} \varepsilon_G(a)^{d_G(a)} \le \prod_{a \in V(G)} \varepsilon_G(a)^{\Delta} = \prod_{a \in V(G)} \left(\varepsilon_G(a)^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} = E_1^*(G)^{\frac{\Delta}{2}},$$
$$E_2^*(G) \ge \prod_{a \in V(G)} \varepsilon_G(a)^{\delta} = \prod_{a \in V(G)} \left(\varepsilon_G(a)^2\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} = E_1^*(G)^{\frac{\delta}{2}}.$$

The equality holds in (5) if and only if for each  $a \in V(G)$ ,  $d_G(a) = \Delta = \delta$ , that is G is regular.

**Theorem 3.2.** For any nontrivial graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ ,

$$E_2^*(G) \le E_1^*(G)^{\frac{n-2}{2}},$$
(6)

with equality if and only if  $G \cong K_n$  or G is (n-2)-regular or (n-1, n-2)-semi-regular.

*Proof.* By definition of the  $E_2^*$  index,

$$E_2^*(G) = \prod_{\substack{a \in V(G):\\\varepsilon_G(a)=1}} \varepsilon_G(a)^{d_G(a)}$$
  
= 
$$\prod_{\substack{a \in V(G):\\\varepsilon_G(a)=1}} 1^{n-1} \times \prod_{\substack{a \in V(G):\\\varepsilon_G(a)\geq 2}} \varepsilon_G(a)^{d_G(a)}$$
  
$$\leq \prod_{\substack{a \in V(G):\\\varepsilon_G(a)\geq 2}} \varepsilon_G(a)^{n-2} = \prod_{\substack{a \in V(G):\\\varepsilon_G(a)\geq 2}} \left(\varepsilon_G(a)^2\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} = E_1^*(G)^{\frac{n-2}{2}},$$

and the inequality (6) is deduced. The equality holds in (6) if and only if for each vertex  $a \in V(G)$ , with  $\varepsilon_G(a) \ge 2$ ,  $d_G(a) = n - 2$ . This happens if and only if the degrees of vertices of G are either n - 1 or n - 2, from which we deduce that,  $G \cong K_n$  or G is (n - 2)-regular or (n - 1, n - 2)-semi-regular.

It is interesting to note that, for graphs with radius at least 2, the upper bound presented in (5) is stronger than the one given in (6), while for non-complete graphs with radius 1, the bound in (6) is better than the one in (5).

**Theorem 3.3.** For a nontrivial graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}_m$ ,

$$E_2^*(G) \le \left(\frac{\xi^c(G)}{2m}\right)^{2m},\tag{7}$$

with equality if and only if G is self-centered.

*Proof.* Applying the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality gives

$$E_2^*(G) = \prod_{a \in V(G)} \varepsilon_G(a)^{d_G(a)} \le \left(\frac{\sum_{a \in V(G)} d_G(a)\varepsilon_G(a)}{\sum_{a \in V(G)} d_G(a)}\right)^{\sum_{a \in V(G)} d_G(a)} = \left(\frac{\xi^c(G)}{2m}\right)^{2m},$$

and (7) holds. The equality holds in (7) if and only if for each  $a \in V(G)$ ,  $\varepsilon_G(a)$  is constant. This happens if and only if G is self-centered. The second multiplicative Zagreb index was put forward by Todeschini and Consonni [25] in 2010 and formulated for graph G as:

$$\Pi_2(G) = \prod_{ab \in E(G)} d_G(a) d_G(b) = \prod_{a \in V(G)} d_G(a)^{d_G(a)}$$

The subsequent theorem provides an upper bound on  $E_2^*(G)$  in terms of  $\Pi_2(G)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** For any  $G \in \mathcal{G}_m$ ,

$$E_2^*(G) \le \Pi_2(G) \left(\frac{\zeta(G)}{2m}\right)^{2m},$$
(8)

with equality if and only if for any  $a \in V(G)$ ,  $\frac{\varepsilon_G(a)}{d_G(a)}$  is constant.

Proof. Application of arithmetic-geometric mean inequality gives,

$$\frac{E_{2}^{*}(G)}{\Pi_{2}(G)} = \frac{\prod_{a \in V(G)} \varepsilon_{G}(a)^{d_{G}(a)}}{\prod_{a \in V(G)} d_{G}(a)^{d_{G}(a)}} = \prod_{a \in V(G)} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{G}(a)}{d_{G}(a)}\right)^{d_{G}(a)} \\
\leq \left(\frac{\sum_{a \in V(G)} d_{G}(a) \times \frac{\varepsilon_{G}(a)}{d_{G}(a)}}{\sum_{a \in V(G)} d_{G}(a)}\right)^{\sum_{a \in V(G)} d_{G}(a)} = \left(\frac{\zeta(G)}{2m}\right)^{2m}$$

Then inequality in (8) is concluded. The equality holds in (8) if and only if for any  $a \in V(G)$ ,  $\frac{\varepsilon_G(a)}{d_G(a)}$  is constant.

The connective eccentricity index of G was formulated by Gupta et al. [26] in 2000 by

$$\xi^{ce}(G) = \sum_{a \in V(G)} \frac{d_G(a)}{\varepsilon_G(a)} = \sum_{ab \in E(G)} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_G(a)} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_G(b)}\right)$$

The theorem below contains a lower bound on  $E_2^*(G)$  based on  $\xi^{ce}(G)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** For any nontrivial graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}_m$ ,

$$E_2^*(G) \ge \left(\frac{2m}{\xi^{ce}(G)}\right)^{2m},$$
(9)

with equality if and only if G is self-centered.

Proof. Application of the geometric-harmonic mean inequality implies,

$$\sqrt[2^m]{E_2^*(G)} = \sqrt[2^m]{\prod_{a \in V(G)} \varepsilon_G(a)^{d_G(a)}} \ge \frac{2m}{\sum_{a \in V(G)} \frac{d_G(a)}{\varepsilon_G(a)}} = \frac{2m}{\xi^{ce}(G)},$$

from which the inequality (9) holds with equality if and only if G is self-centered.

**Conflicts of Interest.** The author declares that she has no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank the editor and referee for their valuable comments which improved the presentation of the paper.

## References

- [1] N. Trinajstić, Chemical Graph Theory, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.
- [2] A. R. Ashrafi and M. V. Diudea, Distance, Symmetry, and Topology in Carbon Nanomaterials, Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 2016.
- [3] V. Sharma, R. Goswami and A. K. Madan, Eccentric connectivity index: a novel highly discriminating topological descriptor for structure-property and structure-activity studies, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 37 (1997) 273-282, https://doi.org/10.1021/ci960049h.
- [4] A. R. Ashrafi, T. Došlić and M. Saheli, The eccentric connectivity index of  $TUC_4C_8(R)$  nanotubes, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **65** (2011) 221–230.
- [5] A. R. Ashrafi, M. Saheli and M. Ghorbani, The eccentric connectivity index of nanotubes and nanotori, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 235 (2011) 4561–4566, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2010.03.001.
- [6] T. Došlić, M. Saheli and D. Vukičević, Eccentric connectivity index: extremal graphs and values, *Iranian J. Math. Chem.* 1 (2010) 45–56, https://doi.org/10.22052/IJMC.2010.5154.
- [7] T. Došlić, A. Graovac and O. Ori, Eccentric connectivity indices of hexagonal belts and chains, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 65 (2011) 745–752.
- [8] A. Ilić and I. Gutman, Eccentric connectivity index of chemical trees, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 65 (2011) 731–744.
- [9] M. Tavakoli, F. Rahbarnia and A. R. Ashrafi, Tricyclic and tetracyclic graphs with maximum and minimum eccentric connectivity, *Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform* **11** (2016) 137–143.
- [10] Y. Nacaroğlu and A. D. Maden, On the eccentric connectivity index of unicyclic graphs, Iranian J. Math. Chem. 9 (2018) 47–56, https://doi.org/10.22052/IJMC.2017.59425.1231.
- [11] Y. Wu and Y. Chen, On the extremal eccentric connectivity index of graphs, Appl. Math. Comput. 331 (2018) 61–68, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2018.02.042.
- [12] D. Vukičević and A. Graovac, Note on the comparison of the first and second normalized Zagreb eccentricity indices, Acta Chim. Slov. 57 (2010) 524–528.
- [13] I. Gutman and N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total  $\pi$ -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **17** (1972) 535–538, https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(72)85099-1.
- [14] I. Gutman, B. Ruščić, N. Trinajstić and C. F. Wilcox Jr, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. XII. Acyclic polyenes, J. Chem. Phys. 62 (1975) 3399–3405, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.430994.
- [15] R. Xing, B. Zhou and N. Trinajstić, On Zagreb eccentricity indices, Croat. Chem. Acta 84 (2011) 493–497, https://doi.org/10.5562/cca1801.
- [16] M. Ghorbani and M. A. Hosseinzadeh, A new version of Zagreb indices, *Filomat*, 26 (2012) 93–100, https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1201093G.
- [17] Z. Du, B. Zhou and N. Trinajstić, Extremal properties of the Zagreb eccentricity indices, Croat. Chem. Acta 85 (2012) 359–362, http://dx.doi.org/10.5562/cca2020.

- [18] K. C. Das, D. W. Lee and A. Graovac, Some properties of the Zagreb eccentricity indices, Ars Math. Contemp. 6 (2013) 117–125, https://doi.org/10.26493/1855-3974.237.48a.
- [19] M. Azari, A. Iranmanesh and M. V. Diudea, Vertex-eccentricity descriptors in dendrimers, *Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Chem.* 62 (2017) 129–142, https://doi.org/10.24193/subbchem.2017.1.11.
- [20] X. Qi and Z. Du, On Zagreb eccentricity indices of trees, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 78 (2017) 241–256.
- [21] J. Li and J. Zhang, On the second Zagreb eccentricity indices of graphs, Appl. Math. Comput. 352 (2019) 180–187.
- M. Azari, Further results on Zagreb eccentricity coindices, *Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl.* 12 (2020) p. 2050075, https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793830920500755.
- [23] N. De, On multiplicative Zagreb eccenticity indices, South Asian J. Math. 2 (2012) 570– 577.
- [24] Z. Luo and J. Wu, Multiplicative Zagreb eccentricity indices of some composite graphs, *Trans. Comb.* 3 (2014) 21–29.
- [25] R. Todeschini and V. Consonni, New local vertex invariants and molecular descriptors based on functions of the vertex degrees, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 64 (2010) 359–372.
- [26] S. Gupta, M. Singh and A. K. Madan, Connective eccentricity index: A novel topological descriptor for predicting biological activity, J. Mol. Graph. Model. 18 (2000) 18–25, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1093-3263(00)00027-9.