

Original Scientific Paper

Another Approach to a Conjecture about the Exponential Reduced Sombor Index of Molecular Trees

ALI GHALAVAND* AND MOSTAFA TAVAKOLI

Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences Ferdowsi University of Mashhad P.O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received: 12 June 2022

Accepted: 28 June 2022

Published online: 30 June 2022

Academic Editor: Akbar Ali

Keywords:

Sombor index

Exponential reduced Sombor index

Degree

Tree

ABSTRACT

For a graph G , the exponential reduced Sombor index (ERSI), denoted by $e^{SO_{red}}$, is $\sum_{uv \in E(G)} e^{\sqrt{(d_G(v)-1)^2 + (d_G(u)-1)^2}}$, where $d_G(v)$ is the degree of vertex v . The authors in [On the reduced Sombor index and its applications, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 86 (2021) 729–753] conjectured that for each molecular tree T of order n , $e^{SO_{red}}(T) \leq \frac{2}{3}(n+1)e^3 + \frac{1}{3}(n-5)e^{3\sqrt{2}}$ where $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, $e^{SO_{red}}(T) \leq \frac{1}{3}(2n+1)e^3 + \frac{1}{3}(n-13)e^{3\sqrt{2}} + 3e^{\sqrt{13}}$ where $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $e^{SO_{red}}(T) \leq \frac{2}{3}ne^3 + \frac{1}{3}(n-9)e^{3\sqrt{2}} + 2e^{\sqrt{10}}$ where $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Recently, Hamza and Ali [On a conjecture regarding the exponential reduced Sombor index of chemical trees. *Discrete Math. Lett.* 9 (2022) 107–110] proved the modified version of this conjecture. In this paper, we adopt another method to prove it.

© 2022 University of Kashan Press. All rights reserved

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider finite, simple and connected graphs. The vertex and edge sets of a graph G are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. Let $v \in V(G)$. Then $N_G(v)$ and

*Corresponding author (Email: alighalavand@grad.kashanu.ac.ir).

DOI: 10.22052/IJMC.2022.246488.1632

$d_G(v)$ are the open neighbourhood and degree of vertex v in G , respectively. We denote the set of degrees of vertices G by $D(G)$.

Let $M \subseteq E(G)$ be a subset of edges of G . Then graph $G - M$ obtained of G by removing edges in M . Let $u, v \in V(G)$ and $uv \notin E(G)$. Then graph $G + uv$ obtained of G by adding edge uv .

Graphs were first used in 1947 as a tool for approaching chemical problems. Until now, several formulas have been proposed as topological indices. For instance, Sombor-type indices are the vertex-degree-based topological indices introduced by Gutman [11] as a geometric approach to degree-based topological indices. We refer interested readers to study references [1-12,14-33] for more details on Sombor-type indices and their applications. Recently, a new Sombor-type index was defined as $e^{SO_{red}}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} e^{\sqrt{(d_G(v)-1)^2 + (d_G(u)-1)^2}}$, and named as the exponential reduced Sombor index in [22]. In this work, the authors posed the following conjecture on exponential reduced Sombor index.

Conjecture 1.1. *Let \mathcal{CT}_n be the set of molecular trees of order n . For $n \geq 5$, if $T \in \mathcal{CT}_n$, then*

$$e^{SO_{red}}(T) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}ne^3 + \frac{1}{3}(n-9)e^{3\sqrt{2}} + 2e^{\sqrt{10}}, & n \equiv 0(\text{mod}3), \\ \frac{1}{3}(2n+1)e^3 + \frac{1}{3}(n-13)e^{3\sqrt{2}} + 3e^{\sqrt{13}}, & n \equiv 1(\text{mod}3), \\ \frac{2}{3}(n+1)e^3 + \frac{1}{3}(n-5)e^{3\sqrt{2}}, & n \equiv 2(\text{mod}3). \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we show that cases $n \equiv 1(\text{mod}3)$ and $n \equiv 0(\text{mod}3)$ of this conjecture are not true. Then we prove the revised form of this conjecture; Infact we prove that if $n \geq 5$ and $T \in \mathcal{CT}_n$, then

$$e^{SO_{red}}(T) \leq \begin{cases} e + \left(\frac{2n-3}{3}\right)e^3 + e^{\sqrt{10}} + \left(\frac{n-6}{3}\right)e^{3\sqrt{2}}, & n \equiv 0(\text{mod}3), \\ 2e^2 + \left(\frac{2n-5}{3}\right)e^3 + e^{\sqrt{13}} + \left(\frac{n-7}{3}\right)e^{3\sqrt{2}}, & n \equiv 1(\text{mod}3), \\ \left(\frac{2n+2}{3}\right)e^3 + \left(\frac{n-5}{3}\right)e^{3\sqrt{2}}, & n \equiv 2(\text{mod}3). \end{cases}$$

We also characterize the molecular trees that achieve the equalities of above relation. It is worthy to mention here that this conjecture was also proved by a different method in [13].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let G be a graph of order n and for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1$ let $n_i = n_i(G) = |\{v: v \in V(G) \text{ and } d_G(v) = i\}|$ and $m_{i,j} = m_{i,j}(G) = |\{uv: uv \in E(G) \text{ and } \{d_G(u), d_G(v)\} = \{i, j\}\}|$. Then by definitions of e^{SOred} and $m_{i,j}$ we can write

$$e^{SOred}(G) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1} m_{i,j} e^{\sqrt{(i-1)^2 + (j-1)^2}}. \quad (1)$$

Let $T \in \mathcal{CT}_n$. Then by Equation (1), one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{SOred}(G) &= m_{1,2}e + m_{1,3}e^2 + m_{1,4}e^3 + m_{2,2}e^{\sqrt{2}} + m_{2,3}e^{\sqrt{5}} + m_{2,4}e^{\sqrt{10}} \\ &\quad + m_{3,3}e^{2\sqrt{2}} + m_{3,4}e^{\sqrt{13}} + m_{4,4}e^{3\sqrt{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

As a consequence of Equations (1) and (2), we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a graph without isolated edges of order n and size m . Then $n_1e + (m - n_1)e^{\sqrt{2}} \leq e^{SOred}(G) \leq n_1e^{\Delta-1} + (m - n_1)e^{(\Delta-1)\sqrt{2}}$. The equality on the left side holds if and only if $D(G) \subseteq \{1,2\}$ and the equality on the right side occurs if and only if $D(G) \subseteq \{1,\Delta\}$.*

Proof. Since G do not have isolated edges, one can see that $\sum_{i=2}^{\Delta} m_{1,i} = n_1$ and $\sum_{2 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1} m_{i,j} = m - n_1$. Thus by Equation (1) we conclude that

$$e^{SOred}(G) \geq \sum_{i=2}^n m_{1,i}e + \sum_{2 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1} m_{i,j}e^{\sqrt{2}} = n_1e + (m - n_1)e^{\sqrt{2}},$$

and the equality holds if and only if $D(G) \subseteq \{1,2\}$. And also

$$e^{SOred}(G) \leq \sum_{i=2}^n m_{1,i}e^{\Delta-1} + \sum_{2 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1} m_{i,j}e^{(\Delta-1)\sqrt{2}} = n_1e^{\Delta-1} + (m - n_1)e^{(\Delta-1)\sqrt{2}},$$

and the equality occurs if and only if $D(G) \subseteq \{1,\Delta\}$. \blacksquare

Corollary 2.2. *Let G be a molecular graph without isolated edges of order n and size m . Then $n_1e + (m - n_1)e^{\sqrt{2}} \leq e^{SOred}(G) \leq n_1e^3 + (m - n_1)e^{3\sqrt{2}}$. The equality on the left side holds if and only if $D(G) \subseteq \{1,2\}$ and the equality on the right side occurs if and only if $D(G) \subseteq \{1,4\}$.*

Let $n \geq 2$ and $T \in \mathcal{CT}_n$. Then by this fact that $\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_G(v) = 2|E(G)|$, we have $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 = n$ and $n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 + 4n_4 = 2n - 2$. Thus it is not difficult to check that

$$n_2 = n - 2 - 2n_3 - 3n_4. \quad (3)$$

$$n_1 = n_3 + 2n_4 + 2. \quad (4)$$

Let $n \geq 5$ be an integer number. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{CT}_n^0 &= \left\{ T \in \mathcal{CT}_n: n_4 = \frac{n-3}{3}, n_3 = 0, n_2 = 1, n_1 = \frac{2n}{3} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{CT}_n^1 &= \left\{ T \in \mathcal{CT}_n: n_4 = \frac{n-4}{3}, n_3 = 1, n_2 = 0, n_1 = \frac{2n+1}{3} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{CT}_n^2 &= \left\{ T \in \mathcal{CT}_n : n_4 = \frac{n-2}{3}, n_3 = 0, n_2 = 0, n_1 = \frac{2n+2}{3} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{ECT}_n^0 &= \left\{ T \in \mathcal{CT}_n^0 : m_{4,4} = \frac{n-6}{3}, m_{2,4} = 1, m_{1,2} = 1, m_{1,4} = \frac{2n-3}{3} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{ECT}_n^1 &= \left\{ T \in \mathcal{CT}_n^1 : m_{4,4} = \frac{n-7}{3}, m_{3,4} = 1, m_{1,3} = 2, m_{1,4} = \frac{2n-5}{3} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{ECT}_n^2 &= \left\{ T \in \mathcal{CT}_n^2 : m_{4,4} = \frac{n-5}{3}, m_{1,4} = \frac{2n+2}{3} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since n_i , for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, is an integer number, then \mathcal{ECT}_n^j is non-empty for $j \in \{0,1,2\}$ if and only if $n \equiv j \pmod{3}$. Also, using relation (2), we conclude that if $T^0 \in \mathcal{ECT}_n^0$, $T^1 \in \mathcal{ECT}_n^1$ and $T^2 \in \mathcal{ECT}_n^2$, then

$$e^{SO_{red}}(T^0) = e + \left(\frac{2n-3}{3}\right)e^3 + e^{\sqrt{10}} + \left(\frac{n-6}{3}\right)e^{3\sqrt{2}}, \quad (5)$$

$$e^{SO_{red}}(T^1) = 2e^2 + \left(\frac{2n-5}{3}\right)e^3 + e^{\sqrt{13}} + \left(\frac{n-7}{3}\right)e^{3\sqrt{2}}, \quad (6)$$

$$e^{SO_{red}}(T^2) = \left(\frac{2n+2}{3}\right)e^3 + \left(\frac{n-5}{3}\right)e^{3\sqrt{2}}. \quad (7)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}e^{SO_{red}}(T^0) &> \frac{2}{3}ne^3 + \frac{1}{3}(n-9)e^{3\sqrt{2}} + 2e^{\sqrt{10}}, \\ e^{SO_{red}}(T^1) &> \frac{1}{3}(2n+1)e^3 + \frac{1}{3}(n-13)e^{3\sqrt{2}} + 3e^{\sqrt{13}}.\end{aligned}$$

These yield that Conjecture 1.1 for cases $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$ is not valid. In the following we show that if $n \geq 5$ and $T \in \mathcal{CT}_n$, then

$$e^{SO_{red}}(T) \leq \begin{cases} e + \left(\frac{2n-3}{3}\right)e^3 + e^{\sqrt{10}} + \left(\frac{n-6}{3}\right)e^{3\sqrt{2}}, & n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ 2e^2 + \left(\frac{2n-5}{3}\right)e^3 + e^{\sqrt{13}} + \left(\frac{n-7}{3}\right)e^{3\sqrt{2}}, & n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \left(\frac{2n+2}{3}\right)e^3 + \left(\frac{n-5}{3}\right)e^{3\sqrt{2}}, & n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

with equality in the first case if and only if $T \in \mathcal{ECT}_n^0$, and equality in the second case if and only if $T \in \mathcal{ECT}_n^1$, and equality in the third case if and only if $T \in \mathcal{ECT}_n^2$.

Lemma 2.3. *If T has maximum value of ERSI among all members of \mathcal{CT}_n , then $m_{2,2}(T) = 0$.*

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that u and v are two vertices of T such that $d_T(u) = d_T(v) = 2$ and $uv \in E(T)$. Let $N_T(u) \setminus \{v\} = u_1$ and $N_T(v) \setminus \{u\} = v_1$. Set $T_1 = (T - u_1u) + u_1v$. Then $T_1 \in \mathcal{CT}_n$, $T_1 \not\cong T$. Thus, if $Y_1 = e^{SO_{red}}(T) - e^{SO_{red}}(T_1)$, then by the structures of T and T_1 , we have $Y_1 = e^{\sqrt{(d_T(v_1)-1)^2+1}} + e^{\sqrt{(d_T(u_1)-1)^2+1}} + e^{\sqrt{2}} -$

$e^{\sqrt{(d_T(v_1)-1)^2+4}} - e^{\sqrt{(d_T(u_1)-1)^2+4}} - e^2 < 0$. Therefore, $e^{SO_{red}(T)} < e^{SO_{red}(T_1)}$, which is contrary to our assumption. ■

Lemma 2.4. *If T has maximum value of ERSI among all members of \mathcal{CT}_n , then $m_{1,2}(T) \leq 1$.*

Proof. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that uv and xy are two pendant edges of T such that $d_T(u) = d_T(x) = 2$, $d_T(v) = d_T(y) = 1$, $N_T(u) \setminus \{v\} = u_1$, $N_T(x) \setminus \{y\} = x_1$ and $d_T(x_1) \geq d_T(u_1)$. Set $T_2 = (T - uv) + xv$. Thus $T_2 \in \mathcal{CT}_n$ and $T_2 \not\cong T$. Also, if $Y_2 = e^{SO_{red}(T)} - e^{SO_{red}(T_2)}$, then according to the structures of T and T_2 , we reach to

$$Y_2 = 2e + e^{\sqrt{(d_T(u_1)-1)^2+1}} + e^{\sqrt{(d_T(x_1)-1)^2+1}} - 2e^2 - e^{d_T(u_1)-1} - e^{\sqrt{(d_T(x_1)-1)^2+4}} < 0.$$

Therefore, $e^{SO_{red}(T)} < e^{SO_{red}(T_1)}$, which is contrary to the hypothesis. ■

Lemma 2.5. *If T has maximum value of ERSI among all members of \mathcal{CT}_n , then $m_{2,3}(T) = 0$.*

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that uv is an edge of T such that $d_T(u) = 2$, $d_T(v) = 3$, $N_T(u) \setminus \{v\} = u_1$ and $N_T(v) \setminus \{u\} = \{v_1, v_2\}$. Set $T_3 = (T - u_1u) + u_1v$. Thus $T_3 \in \mathcal{CT}_n$, $T_3 \not\cong T$. Also, if $Y_3 = e^{SO_{red}(T)} - e^{SO_{red}(T_3)}$, then the structures of T and T_3 lead to

$$Y_3 = e^{\sqrt{(d_T(u_1)-1)^2+1}} + e^{\sqrt{5}} + e^{\sqrt{(d_T(v_1)-1)^2+4}} + e^{\sqrt{(d_T(v_2)-1)^2+4}} - e^{\sqrt{(d_T(u_1)-1)^2+9}} - e^4 - e^{\sqrt{(d_T(v_1)-1)^2+9}} - e^{\sqrt{(d_T(v_2)-1)^2+9}} < 0.$$

Therefore, $e^{SO_{red}(T)} < e^{SO_{red}(T_3)}$, a contradiction. ■

Lemma 2.6. *Let T have maximum value of ERSI among all members of \mathcal{CT}_n . Then $m_{2,4}(T) \leq 1$.*

Proof. By contradiction. Let $m_{2,4}(T) \geq 2$. Then using Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 conclude that there exists set $\{u, v, w, x, y\} \subseteq V(T)$ such that $\{uw, wv, xy\} \subseteq E(T)$, $d_T(u) = d_T(v) = 4$, $d_T(x) = 3$ or 4 , $d_T(w) = 2$ and $d_T(y) = 1$.

Set $T_4 = (T - \{uw, wv\}) + \{uv, yw\}$. Thus $T_4 \in \mathcal{CT}_n$, $T_4 \not\cong T$. Also, if $Y_4 = e^{SO_{red}(T)} - e^{SO_{red}(T_4)}$, then by the structures of T and T_4 we have $Y_4 = 2e^{\sqrt{10}} + e^{d_T(x)-1} - e^{3\sqrt{2}} - e^{\sqrt{(d_T(x)-1)^2+1}} - e < 0$. Therefore, $e^{SO_{red}(T)} < e^{SO_{red}(T_4)}$, which is contrary to our assumption. ■

Lemma 2.7. *If T has maximum value of ERSI among all members of \mathcal{CT}_n then $m_{3,3}(T) = 0$.*

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that uv is an edge of T such that $d_T(u) = d_T(v) = 3$, $N_T(u) \setminus \{v\} = \{u_1, u_2\}$ and $N_T(v) \setminus \{u\} = \{v_1, v_2\}$. Set $T_5 = (T - u_1u) + u_1v$. Thus $T_5 \in \mathcal{CT}_n$ and $T_5 \not\cong T$. Also, if $Y_5 = e^{SO_{red}(T)} - e^{SO_{red}(T_5)}$, then clearly

$$\begin{aligned} Y_5 &= e^{2\sqrt{2}} + e^{\sqrt{(d_T(u_1)-1)^2+4}} + e^{\sqrt{(d_T(u_2)-1)^2+4}} + e^{\sqrt{(d_T(v_1)-1)^2+4}} \\ &\quad + e^{\sqrt{(d_T(v_2)-1)^2+4}} - e^{\sqrt{10}} - e^{\sqrt{(d_T(u_1)-1)^2+9}} - e^{\sqrt{(d_T(u_2)-1)^2+1}} \\ &\quad - e^{3\sqrt{2}} - e^{\sqrt{(d_T(v_2)-1)^2+9}} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $e^{SO_{red}(T)} < e^{SO_{red}(T_5)}$, a contradiction. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.8. *Let T have maximum value of ERSI among all members of \mathcal{CT}_n . Then $n_2(T) \leq 1$.*

Proof. By contradiction. Let $n_2(T) \geq 2$. Thus we have three below cases for vertices of degree 2:

- (i) There exist two adjacent vertices u and v of degree 2. This case is contrary to Lemma 2.3.
- (ii) There exist two adjacent vertices u and v of degree 2 and 3, respectively. But this is contrary to Lemma 2.5.
- (iii) Each vertex of degree 2 is adjacent to a vertex of degree 4. This leads to a contradiction to Lemma 2.6.

Using cases (i)-(iii) we conclude that $n_2(T) \leq 1$. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.9. *If T has maximum value of ERSI among all members of \mathcal{CT}_n , then $n_3(T) \leq 1$.*

Proof. By contradiction. Let $n_3(T) \geq 2$. Now, we consider three possible cases for vertices of degree 3 as below:

- (i) There exist two adjacent vertices of degree 3. This case is contradiction to Lemma 2.7.
- (ii) There exist two adjacent vertices u and v of degree 2 and 3, respectively. But this is contrary to Lemma 2.5.
- (iii) There exist two vertices u and v of degree 3 such that $d_T(x) = 1$ or 4 for each $x \in N_T(u) \cup N_T(v)$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $N_T(u) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$, $N_T(v) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, $u_1 \notin N_T(u) \cap N_T(v)$ and set $T_6 = (T - u_1u) + u_1v$. Thus $T_6 \in \mathcal{CT}_n$ and $T_6 \not\cong T$. Also, if $Y_6 = e^{SO_{red}(T)} - e^{SO_{red}(T_6)}$, then clearly

$$\begin{aligned} Y_6 &= e^{\sqrt{(d_T(u_1)-1)^2+4}} + e^{\sqrt{(d_T(u_2)-1)^2+4}} + e^{\sqrt{(d_T(u_3)-1)^2+4}} \\ &\quad + e^{\sqrt{(d_T(v_1)-1)^2+4}} + e^{\sqrt{(d_T(v_2)-1)^2+4}} + e^{\sqrt{(d_T(v_3)-1)^2+4}} \\ &\quad - e^{\sqrt{(d_T(u_1)-1)^2+9}} - e^{\sqrt{(d_T(u_2)-1)^2+1}} - e^{\sqrt{(d_T(u_3)-1)^2+1}} \\ &\quad - e^{\sqrt{(d_T(v_1)-1)^2+9}} - e^{\sqrt{(d_T(v_2)-1)^2+9}} - e^{\sqrt{(d_T(v_3)-1)^2+9}} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $e^{SO_{red}(T)} < e^{SO_{red}(T_6)}$, a contradiction. Cases (i–iii) contradict the choice of T and hence $n_3(T) \leq 1$. ■

Lemma 2.10. *Let T have maximum value of ERSI among all members of \mathcal{CT}_n . Then $m_{3,4}(T) \leq 1$.*

Proof. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that $m_{3,4}(T) \geq 2$. Using Lemmas 2.5, 2.8 and 2.9 yields that there exists subset $\{u, v, w, x, y, z\}$ of $V(T)$ such that $\{uw, wv, wz, xy\} \subseteq E(T)$, $d_T(u) = d_T(v) = d_T(x) = 4$, $d_T(w) = 3$ and $d_T(y) = 1$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $z \neq x$. Set $T_7 = (T - \{uw, wv, wz\}) + \{uv, yw, yz\}$. Thus $T_7 \in \mathcal{CT}_n$ and $T_7 \not\cong T$. Also, if $\Upsilon_7 = e^{SO_{red}(T)} - e^{SO_{red}(T_7)}$, Then $\Upsilon_7 = e^{\sqrt{13}} + e^3 - e^{3\sqrt{2}} - e^2 < 0$. Hence $e^{SO_{red}(T)} < e^{SO_{red}(T_7)}$, which is contrary to our assumption. ■

Lemma 2.11. *If T has maximum value of ERSI among all members of \mathcal{CT}_n , then $n_2(T) + n_3(T) \leq 1$.*

Proof. By contradiction. Let $n_2(T) + n_3(T) \geq 2$. Thus there are three below cases for vertices of degrees 2 and 3.

- (i) $n_2(T) \geq 2$. This is a contradiction to Lemma 2.8.
- (ii) $n_3(T) \geq 2$. This case leads to a contradiction to Lemma 2.9.
- (iii) $n_2(T) = 1$ and $n_3(T) = 1$. Let u be a vertex of degree 2 and let v be a vertex of degree 3. By Lemma 2.5, $uv \notin E(T)$. In this case, without loss of generality, we may suppose that $N_T(u) = \{u_1, u_2\}$, $N_T(v) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, $d_T(u_1) \geq d_T(u_2)$ and $d_T(v_1) \geq d_T(v_2) \geq d_T(v_3)$. Then $d_T(u_1) = 4$, $d_T(u_2) = 1$ by Lemma 2.6, and also $d_T(v_1) = 4$, $d_T(v_2) = d_T(v_3) = 1$ by Lemma 2.10. Set $T_8 = (T - u_2u) + u_2v$. Thus $T_8 \in \mathcal{CT}_n$ and $T_8 \not\cong T$. Also, if $\Upsilon_8 = e^{SO_{red}(T)} - e^{SO_{red}(T_8)}$, then obviously $\Upsilon_8 = e + e^{\sqrt{10}} + e^{\sqrt{13}} + 2e^2 - 4e^3 - e^{3\sqrt{2}} < 0$. Therefore, $e^{SO_{red}(T)} < e^{SO_{red}(T_8)}$, a contradiction to our assumption.

Using cases (i)-(iii) we conclude that $n_2(T) + n_3(T) \geq 2$ is not true. ■

Theorem 2.12. *Let $n \geq 5$ and let T have maximum value of ERSI among all members of \mathcal{CT}_n . Then below assertions are valid:*

- (i) If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $T \in \mathcal{ECT}_n^0$.
- (ii) If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $T \in \mathcal{ECT}_n^1$.
- (iii) If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $T \in \mathcal{ECT}_n^2$.

Proof. (i) If $T \in \mathcal{CT}_n^0$, then by Lemma 2.6 we reach to the assertion. If $n_3 = n_2 = 0$, then by relation (3) we have $n_4 = \frac{n-2}{3}$, which is contradiction because n_4 is an integer number. Otherwise, by Lemma 2.11, we have $n_3 = 1$ and $n_2 = 0$, and consequently by relation (3), $n_4 = \frac{n-4}{3}$, which is a contradiction to this fact that n_4 is an integer number. Therefore, the first assertion is true. (ii) If $T \in \mathcal{CT}_n^1$, then by Lemma 2.10 we have the assertion. If $n_3 = n_2 = 0$, then by relation (3) we conclude that $n_4 = \frac{n-2}{3}$; but this is contrary to this fact that n_4 is an integer number. Otherwise, by Lemma 2.11, we lead to $n_3 = 0$ and $n_2 = 1$. Thus by relation (3), we have $n_4 = \frac{n-3}{3}$, which is a contradiction to this fact that n_4 is an integer number. (iii) If $T \in \mathcal{CT}_n^2$, then $\mathcal{ECT}_n^2 = \mathcal{CT}_n^2$ and so the assertion is valid. Otherwise, by Lemma 2.11, $(n_3 = 1, n_2 = 0)$ or $(n_3 = 0, n_2 = 1)$. Now, if $n_3 = 1$ and $n_2 = 0$, then from relation (3) we conclude that $n_4 = \frac{n-4}{3}$, a contradiction. Also, if $n_3 = 0$ and $n_2 = 1$, then by relation (3), we reach to $n_4 = \frac{n-3}{3}$, which is a contradiction. ■

The next result is the revised form of Conjecture 1.1.

Corollary 2.13. *If $n \geq 5$ and $T \in \mathcal{CT}_n$, then*

$$e^{SO_{red}(T)} \leq \begin{cases} e + \left(\frac{2n-3}{3}\right)e^3 + e^{\sqrt{10}} + \left(\frac{n-6}{3}\right)e^{3\sqrt{2}}, & n \equiv 0(\text{mod}3), \\ 2e^2 + \left(\frac{2n-5}{3}\right)e^3 + e^{\sqrt{13}} + \left(\frac{n-7}{3}\right)e^{3\sqrt{2}}, & n \equiv 1(\text{mod}3), \\ \left(\frac{2n+2}{3}\right)e^3 + \left(\frac{n-5}{3}\right)e^{3\sqrt{2}}, & n \equiv 2(\text{mod}3). \end{cases}$$

The equality in the first case holds if and only if $T \in \mathcal{ECT}_n^0$; the equality in the second case holds if and only if $T \in \mathcal{ECT}_n^1$; the equality in the third case holds if and only if $T \in \mathcal{ECT}_n^2$.

Proof. The assertion follows almost immediately from relations (5–7) and Theorem 2.12. ■

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors are grateful to the referees for helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. A. Aashtab, S. Akbari, S. Madadinia, M. Noei and F. Salehi, On the graphs with minimum Sombor index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **88** (3) (2022) 553–559.
2. A. Alidadi, A. Parsian and H. Arianpoor, The minimum Sombor index for unicyclic graphs with fixed diameter, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **88** (3) (2022) 561–572.

3. S. Alikhani and N. Ghanbari, Sombor index of polymers, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **86** (3) (2021) 715–728.
4. H. Chen, W. Li and J. Wang, Extremal values on the Sombor index of trees, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **87** (1) (2022) 23–49.
5. R. Cruz, I. Gutman and J. Rada, Sombor index of chemical graphs, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **399** (2021) 126018.
6. R. Cruz and J. Rada, Extremal values of the Sombor index in unicyclic and bicyclic graphs, *J. Math. Chem.* **59** (2021) 1098–1116.
7. K. C. Das, A. Ghalavand and A. R. Ashrafi, On a conjecture about the Sombor index of graphs, *Symmetry* **13** (2021) 1830.
8. K. C. Das and I. Gutman, On Sombor index of trees, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **412** (2022) 126575.
9. H. Deng, Z. Tang and R. Wu, Molecular trees with extremal values of Sombor indices, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **121** (2021) e26622.
10. X. Fang, L. You and H. Liu, The expected values of Sombor indices in random hexagonal chains, phenylene chains and Sombor indices of some chemical graphs, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **121** (2021) e26740.
11. I. Gutman, Geometric approach to degree-based topological indices: Sombor indices, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **86** (1) (2021) 11–16.
12. I. Gutman, Some basic properties of Sombor indices, *Open J. Discr. Appl. Math.* **4** (2021) 1–3.
13. A. E. Hamza and A. Ali, On a conjecture regarding the exponential reduced Sombor index of chemical trees, *Discrete Math. Lett.* **9** (2022) 107–110.
14. B. Horoldagva and C. Xu, On Sombor index of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **86** (3) (2021) 703–713.
15. S. Li, Z. Wang and M. Zhang, On the extremal Sombor index of trees with a given diameter, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **416** (2022) 126731.
16. Z. Lin, On the spectral radius and energy of the Sombor matrix of graphs, arXiv:2102.03960v.
17. H. Liu, Extremal cacti with respect to Sombor index, *Iranian J. Math. Chem.* **12** (4) (2021) 197–208.
18. H. Liu, H. Chen, Q. Xiao, X. Fang and Z. Tang, More on Sombor indices of chemical graphs and their applications to the boiling point of benzenoid hydrocarbons, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **121** (2021) e26689.
19. H. Liu, L. You and Y. Huang, Ordering chemical graphs by Sombor indices and its applications, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **87** (1) (2022) 5–22.
20. H. Liu, L. You and Y. Huang, Extremal Sombor indices of tetracyclic (chemical) graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **88** (3) (2022) 573–581.
21. H. Liu, L. You, Y. Huang and X. Fang, Spectral properties of p-Sombor matrices and beyond, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **87** (1) (2022) 59–87.

22. H. Liu, L. You, Z. Tang and J. B. Liu, On the reduced Sombor index and its applications, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **86** (2021) 729–753.
23. H. Liu, M. Zeng, H. Deng and Z. Tang, Some indices in the random spiro chains, *Iranian J. Math. Chem.* **11** (2020) 249–264.
24. I. Milovanović, E. Milovanović and M. Matejć, On some mathematical properties of Sombor indices, *Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst.* **11** (2021) 341–353.
25. J. Rada, J. M. Rodriguez and J. M. Sigarreta, General properties on Sombor indices, *Discr. Appl. Math.* **299** (2021) 87–97.
26. B. A. Rather and M. Imran, Sharp bounds on the Sombor energy of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **88** (2022) 605–624.
27. I. Redžepović, Chemical applicability of Sombor indices, *J. Serb. Chem. Soc.* **86** (2021) 445–457.
28. I. Redžepović and I. Gutman, Comparing energy and Sombor energy-an empirical study, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **88** (2022) 133–140.
29. T. Réti, T. Došlić and A. Ali, On the Sombor index of graphs, *Contrib. Math.* **3** (2021) 11–18.
30. A. Ülker, A. Gürsoy and N. K. Gürsoy, The energy and Sombor index of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **87** (2022) 51–58.
31. Z. Wang, Y. Mao, Y. Li and B. Furtula, On relations between Sombor and other degree-based indices, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **68** (2022) 1–17.
32. F. Wang and B. Wu, The proof of a conjecture on the reduced Sombor index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **88** (2022) 583–591.
33. W. Zhang, L. You, H. Liu and Y. Huang, The expected values and variances for Sombor indices in a general random chain, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **411** (2021) 126521.