# Iranian Journal of Mathematical Chemistry

Journal homepage: www.ijmc.kashanu.ac.ir

# An Upper Bound on the First Zagreb Index in Trees

# R. RASI<sup>1</sup>, S. M. SHEIKHOLESLAMI<sup>1,•</sup> AND A. BEHMARAM<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz, I. R. Iran

### **ARTICLE INFO**

#### **Article History:**

Received: 1 March, 2016 Accepted: 10 June 2016 Published online 6 February 2017 Academic Editor: Tomislav Došlić

#### **Keywords:**

first Zagreb index first Zagreb coindex tree Chemical tree

## **ABSTRACT**

The first Zagreb index  $\overline{M_1}(G)$  is equal to the sum of squares of the degrees of the vertices and the first Zagreb coindex  $\overline{M_1}(G)$  is equal to the sum of sums of vertex degrees of the pairs of non-adjacent vertices. Kovijanić Vukićević and G. Popivoda (Iran. J. Math. Chem. 5 (2014) 19–29) proved that for any chemical tree of order  $n, n \ge 5$ ,

$$M_1(T) \leq \begin{cases} 6n-12 & n \equiv 0,1 \, (\text{mod } 3) \\ 6n-10 & otherwise, \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we generalize the aforementioned bound for all trees in terms of their order and maximum degree. Moreover, we give a lower bound on the first Zagreb coindex of trees.

© 2017 University of Kashan Press. All rights reserved

# 1. Introduction

In this paper, G is a simple connected graph with vertex set V = V(G) and edge set E = E(G). The order |V| of G is denoted by n = n(G). For every vertex  $v \in V$ , the *open neighborhood* N(v) is the set  $\{u \in V(G) \mid uv \in E(G)\}$  and the *closed neighborhood* of V is the set  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ . The *degree* of a vertex  $v \in V$  is  $d_v = |N(v)|$ . The *minimum* and *maximum degree* of a graph G are denoted by S = S(G) and S = S(G), respectively. Trees with the property S = S(G) are called chemical trees.

The Zagreb indices have been introduced more than thirty years ago by Gutman and Trinajestić in [6]. They are important molecular descriptors and have been closely correlated with many chemical properties [6, 7]. Thus, it attracted more and more attention from chemists and mathematicians [2, 3, 4, 8, 10, 11].

The first Zagreb index  $M_1(G)$  is defined as follows:

DOI: 10.22052/ijmc.2017.42995

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, I. R. Iran

 $<sup>\</sup>hbox{$^{\bullet}$ Corresponding Author: (Email address: (m.sheikholeslami@azaruniv.edu)}\\$ 

$$M_1(G) = \sum_{v \in V} d_v^2.$$

The first Zagreb index can be also expressed as the sum of vertex degree over edges of G, that is,  $M_1(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u + d_v)$ . Došlić in [5] introduced a new graph invariant called the *first Zagreb coindex*, as  $\overline{M}_1(G) = \sum_{uv \notin E(G)} (d_u + d_v)$ . Next we introduce a family of trees. For  $n = (\Delta - 1)k + p$  ( $k \ge 2$ ), let  $T_n$  be the family of trees of order n with maximum degree  $\Delta$  such that:

- If p = 0, k 1 vertices have degree  $\Delta$ , 1 vertex has degree  $\Delta 2$  and remaining vertices are pendant.
- If p=1, k-1 vertices have degree  $\Delta$ , 1 vertex has degree  $\Delta-1$  and remaining vertices are pendant.
- If p = 2, k vertices have degree  $\Delta$  and remaining vertices are pendant.
- If  $p \ge 3$ , k vertices have degree  $\Delta$ , 1 vertex has degree p-1, and n-k-1 remaining vertices are pendant.

Kovijanić Vukićević and Popivoda [9] proved the following upper bound on the first Zagreb index of chemical trees and characterized all extreme chemical trees.

**Theorem 1.** Let T be a chemical tree with  $n \ge 5$  vertices. Then

$$M_1(T) \le \begin{cases} 6n - 12 & n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3} \\ 6n - 10 & otherwise, \end{cases}$$

with equality if and only if  $G \in \mathsf{T}_n$ .

In this paper, we establish an upper bound on the first Zagreb index of trees in terms of the order and maximum degree, as a generalization of aforementioned bound. As a consequence, we obtain a lower bound on the first Zagreb coindex for trees.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we prove the following result:

**Theorem 2.** Let T be a tree of order n and maximum degree  $\Delta$ . If  $n \equiv p \pmod{\Delta - 1}$ , then

$$M_1(T) \leq \begin{cases} (\Delta + 2)n - 4\Delta + 4 & p = 0 \\ (\Delta + 2)n - 3\Delta & p = 1 \\ (\Delta + 2)n - 2\Delta - 2 & p = 2 \\ (\Delta + 2)n - 2\Delta - 3 + p(p - 2) & p \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

with equality if and only if  $G \in T_n$ .

To prove Theorem 2, we proceed with some definitions and lemmas. If n is a positive integer, then an integer partition of n is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers  $(a_1,a_2,...,a_t)$  whose sum is n. If  $1 \le a_1 \le a_2 \le ... \le a_t \le a$ , then  $(a_1,a_2,...,a_t)$  is called an integer partition of n on  $N_a = \{1,2,...,a\}$ . An integer partition  $(a_1,a_2,...,a_t)$  of n on  $N_a$  is called an integer a-partition if the number of a in this partition is as large as possible. In other words, if n = ka, then  $(a_1,...,a)$  is the integer a-partition and if n = ka + b where 0 < b < a then  $(b_1,a_1,...,a)$  is the integer a-partition. The proof of the next result is straightforward and therefore omitted.

**Lemma 3.** For positive integers  $n_i t$  and  $a_i$   $(1 \le i \le t)$ , we have

- a) If  $n = a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_t$  and t > 1, then  $n^2 > a_1^2 + a_2^2 + ... + a_t^2$ .
- b) If  $a_i \le a_i$ , then  $(a_i 1)^2 + (a_i + 1)^2 \ge a_i^2 + a_i^2 + 2$ .

**Lemma 4.** If  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_t)$  is an integer partition of n = ka + b  $(0 \le b < a)$  on  $N_a$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i^2 < ka^2 + b^2.$$

**Proof.** Let  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_t)$  be an partition of n on  $N_a$ . If  $a_i \le a_j < a$  for some  $1 \le i \ne j \le t$ , then by switching  $(a_i, a_j)$  to  $(a_i - 1, a_j + 1)$ , then we get a new integer partition of n on  $N_a$ . Note that if  $a_i - 1 = 0$ , then we will remove  $a_i - 1$  from the new partition. Applying Lemma 3 (a), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i^2 < a_1^2 + \dots + (a_i - 1)^2 + \dots + (a_j + 1)^2 + \dots + a_t^2.$$

By repeating this process, we arrive at an integer a-partition of n on  $N_a$ . It follows from Lemma 2 that  $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i^2 < ka^2 + b^2$  and the proof is complete.

**Lemma 5.** Let n = ka + b where  $0 \le b < a$  and let  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_t)$  be an integer partition of n on  $N_a$  which is not a-partition. Then the following statements holds:

a. If 
$$b > 0$$
, then  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} (a_i + 1)^2 < k(a+1)^2 + (b+1)^2$ .

b. If 
$$b = 0$$
, then  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} (a_i + 1)^2 < k(a+1)^2$ .

**Proof.** (a) Since  $n = a_1 + \dots + a_t = b + \underbrace{a + \dots + a}_k = ka + b$ , we have  $t \ge k + 1$ . First let t = k + 1. Then we have

$$(a_1 + 1)^2 + \dots + (a_t + 1)^2 = (a_1^2 + \dots + a_t^2) + t + 2(ka + b)$$

$$< (ka^2 + b^2) + t + 2(ka + b)$$
 (by Lemma3)
$$= k(a+1)^2 + (b+1)^2 + t - (k+1)$$

$$= k(a+1)^2 + (b+1)^2,$$

as desired. Now let t > k+1. Repeating the switching process described in the proof of Lemma 4, i.e. for any pair  $(a_i, a_j)$  where  $1 \le a_i < a_j < a$  and using the fact that  $a_i^2 + a_j^2 \le (a_i - 1)^2 + (a_j + 1)^2 - 2$ , we get  $a_i = 0$  or  $a_j = a$ . To achieving an integer a-partition, we need to apply the switching process at least t - (k+1) times. This implies that

$$a_1^2 + \dots + a_t^2 \le ka^2 + b^2 - 2(t - (k+1)).$$
 (1)

Thus

$$(a_{1}+1)^{2} + \dots + (a_{t}+1)^{2} = (a_{1}^{2} + \dots + a_{t}^{2}) + t + 2(ka+b)$$

$$\leq ka^{2} + b^{2} - 2(t - (k+1)) + t + 2(ka+b) \text{ (by inequality (1))}$$

$$= k(a+1)^{2} + (b+1)^{2} - (t - (k+1))$$

$$< k(a+1)^{2} + (b+1)^{2}.$$

(b) If b=0, then  $n=a_1+\cdots+a_t=\underbrace{a+\cdots+a}_k=ka$ . Since  $(a_1,\ldots,a_t)$  is not a-partition, we have t>k. Applying (1), we obtain

$$(a_1+1)^2 + \dots + (a_t+1)^2 = (a_1^2 + \dots + a_t^2) + t + 2ka$$

$$\leq ka^2 - 2(t-k) + t + 2ka$$

$$= k(a+1)^2 + k - t$$

$$\leq k(a+1)^2.$$

This completes the proof.

**Remark 6.** Let T be a tree of order n and maximum degree  $\Delta$ . For each  $i \in \{1,2,...,\Delta\}$ , let  $n_i$  denote the number of vertices of degree i. Then

$$n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_{\Lambda} = n \tag{2}$$

and

$$n_1 + 2n_2 + \ldots + \Delta n_{\Lambda} = 2n - 2.$$
 (3)

Subtracting (2) from (3), yields

$$n_2 + 2n_3 + \ldots + (\Delta - 1)n_{\Lambda} = n - 2.$$
 (4)

By (4), we obtain the following integer partition

$$(\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{n_2},\underbrace{2,\ldots,2}_{n_3},\ldots,\underbrace{\Delta-1,\ldots,\Delta-1}_{n_{\Delta}}), \tag{5}$$

of n-2 on  $N_{\Delta-1} = \{1,2,...,\Delta-1\}$ . It follows from Lemma 4 that  $2^2n_2 + 3^2n_3 + ... + \Delta^2n_\Delta$  is maximum if and only if the partition (5) obtained from (4), is an  $(\Delta-1)$ -partition of n-2 on  $N_{\Delta-1}$ . In that case,  $n_1$  (the number of leaves) will be maximum.

Next result is an immediate consequence of above discussion.

**Corollary 7.** For any tree T of order n with maximum degree  $\Delta$ , the first Zagreb index  $M_1(T) = n_1 + 2^2 n_2 + \dots + \Delta^2 n_{\Delta}$  is maximum if and only if the integer partition (5) is an  $(\Delta - 1)$ -partition of n - 2 on  $N_{\Delta - 1}$ . In that case, the integer partition  $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{\Delta})$  is called an optimal solution of (4).

**Theorem 8.** Let T be a tree of order n and maximum degree  $\Delta$  with  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{\Delta - 1}$ . Then  $M_1(T) \leq (\Delta + 2)n - 4\Delta + 4$ , with equality if and only if  $T \in T_n$ 

**Proof.** Assume that  $n = (\Delta - 1)k$ . By (4),

$$n_{\Delta} = k - (\frac{n_2 + 2n_3 + \dots + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} + 2}{\Delta - 1}) = k - r,$$

where  $r = \frac{n_2 + 2n_3 + \dots + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} + 2}{\Delta - 1}$ . Then  $1 \le r \le k - 1$  and  $1 \le n_{\Delta} \le k - 1$ . We consider three cases as follows:

Case 1. r = 1. Then clearly  $n_{\Lambda} = k - 1$ . It follows that

$$n_2 + 2n_3 + \dots + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} + (\Delta - 1)(k - 1) = (\Delta - 1)k - 2$$

and so

$$n_2 + 2n_3 + ... + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} = \Delta - 3.$$

Thus  $n_{\Delta-1} = 0$  and so

$$n_2 + 2n_3 + \dots + (\Delta - 3)n_{\Delta - 2} = \Delta - 3.$$
 (6)

According to Corollary 6, the optimal solution of (6) is  $n_2 = n_3 = \dots = n_{\Delta-3} = 0$  and  $n_{\Delta-2} = 1$ . Since  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{\Delta} = n$ , we conclude that  $n_1 = (\Delta - 2)k$ . By Corollary 7,

$$(n_1, n_2, ..., n_{\Delta-3}, n_{\Delta-2}, n_{\Delta-1}, n_{\Delta}) = ((\Delta - 2)k, 0, ..., 0, 1, 0, k - 1)$$

is the optimal solution and so  $M_1(T)$  is maximum. Therefore,

$$M_{1}(T) \leq n_{1} + 2^{2} n_{2} + \dots + (\Delta - 2)^{2} . n_{\Delta - 2} + (\Delta - 1)^{2} . n_{\Delta - 1} + \Delta^{2} . n_{\Delta}$$

$$= (\Delta - 2)k + (\Delta - 2)^{2} + \Delta^{2} (k - 1)$$

$$= (\Delta + 2)(\Delta - 1)k - 4\Delta + 4$$

$$= (\Delta + 2)n - 4\Delta + 4.$$

Case 2.  $2 \le r < \Delta$ . Then  $n_2 + 2n_3 + ... + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} = (\Delta - 2)r + (r - 2)$ . Since  $r - 2 < \Delta - 2$ , it follows from Corollary 7 that

 $(n_1,n_2,\dots,n_{r-2},n_{r-1},n_r,\dots,n_{\Delta-2},n_{\Delta-1},n_{\Delta})=((\Delta-2)k-1,0,\dots,0,1,0,\dots,0,r,k-r)$  is an optimal solution in this case. Since  $2\leq r<\Delta$  and  $4\leq \Delta$ , we have  $r(r-2\Delta-1)<-4\Delta+4$  and so

$$M_1(T) \leq (\Delta - 2)k - 1 + (r - 1)^2 + (\Delta - 1)^2 r + \Delta^2 (k - r)$$
  
=  $(\Delta + 2)(\Delta - 1)k + r(r - 2\Delta - 1)$   
<  $(\Delta + 2)n - 4\Delta + 4$ .

Case 3.  $\Delta \le r \le k-1$ . Then  $n_2 + 2n_3 + \ldots + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} = (\Delta - 2)r + (r-2)$ . There are non-negative integers t, s such that  $(r-2) = t(\Delta - 2) + s$  and  $0 \le s < \Delta - 2$ . Hence  $n_2 + 2n_3 + \ldots + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} = (\Delta - 2)(r + t) + s$ . If  $0 < s < \Delta - 2$ , then  $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s, n_{s+1}, n_{s+2}, \ldots, n_{\Delta - 2}, n_{\Delta - 1}, n_{\Delta}) = ((\Delta - 2)k - (t+1), 0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0, r + t, k - r)$  is the optimal solution and since  $(s - \Delta) < 0$  and  $4 \le \Delta \le r$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} M_1(T) &\leq (\Delta-2)k - (t+1) + (s+1)^2 + (\Delta-1)^2(r+t) + \Delta^2(k-r) \\ &= (\Delta+2)(\Delta-1)k + s(s+2) + r(1-2\Delta) + t\Delta(\Delta-2) \\ &= (\Delta+2)n + (s-\Delta)(s+2) - r\Delta + r \\ &< (\Delta+2)n + (s-\Delta)(s+2) - r\Delta + r \\ &< (\Delta+2)n - 4\Delta + 4. \end{split}$$

If s = 0, then the optimal solution is

$$(n_1, n_2, ..., n_{\Delta-2}, n_{\Delta-1}, n_{\Delta}) = ((\Delta - 2)k - t, 0, ..., 0, r + t, k - r).$$
 Since  $t(\Delta - 2) = r - 2 - s$ ,  $(s + 2) > 0$  and  $4 \le \Delta \le r$ , we conclude that 
$$M_1(T) \le n_1 + 4n_2 + 9n_3 + ... + \Delta^2 .n_{\Delta}$$
$$= ((\Delta - 2)k - t) + (\Delta - 1)^2 (r + t) + \Delta^2 (k - r)$$
$$= \Delta k - 2k - t + \Delta^2 r - 2\Delta r + r + \Delta^2 t - 2\Delta t + t + \Delta^2 k - \Delta^2 r$$
$$= (\Delta + 2)n - (s + 2) - r\Delta + r$$
$$< (\Delta + 2)n - r\Delta + r$$
$$< (\Delta + 2)n - 4\Delta + 4$$

Therefore, in all cases  $M_1(T) \leq (\Delta+2)n-4\Delta+4$ . If  $T \in \mathsf{T}_n$ , then clearly  $M_1(T) = (\Delta+2)n-4\Delta+4$ . Conversely, let T be a tree of order n with  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{\Delta-1}$  and  $M_1(T) = (\Delta+2)n-4\Delta+4$ . This occurs only in Case 1, that is, T has  $k-1 = \frac{n-\Delta+1}{\Delta-1}$  vertices of degree  $\Delta$ , one vertex of degree  $\Delta-2$  and  $(\Delta-2)k$  leaves. Hence  $T \in \mathsf{T}_n$  and the proof is complete.

**Theorem 9.** Let T be a tree of order n with maximum degree  $\Delta$  and  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{\Delta - 1}$ . Then  $M_1(T) \leq (\Delta + 2)n - 3\Delta$ , with equality if and only if  $T \in T_n$ .

**Proof.** Let 
$$n = (\Delta - 1)k + 1$$
. Set  $r = \frac{n_2 + 2n_3 + ... + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} + 1}{\Delta - 1}$ . By (4), 
$$n_{\Delta} = k - (\frac{n_2 + 2n_3 + ... + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} + 1}{\Delta - 1}) = k - r.$$

Then clearly  $1 \le r \le k-1$  and  $1 \le n_{\Delta} \le k-1$ . We consider three cases.

**Case 1.** r=1. Since  $n_{\Delta}=k-1$ , it follows from (4) that  $n_2+\ldots+(\Delta-2)n_{\Delta-1}=(\Delta-2)$  and by Corollary 7

$$(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{\Delta-2}, n_{\Delta-1}, n_{\Delta}) = ((\Delta - 2)k + 1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, k - 1)$$

is the optimal solution. Thus

$$M_1(T) \leq n_1 + 2^2 n_2 + \dots + (\Delta - 2)^2 . n_{\Delta - 2} + (\Delta - 1)^2 . n_{\Delta - 1} + \Delta^2 . n_{\Delta}$$
  
=  $((\Delta - 2)k + 1) + (\Delta - 1)^2 (1) + \Delta^2 (k - 1)$   
=  $(\Delta + 2)n - 3\Delta$ .

Case 2.  $2 \le r < \Delta - 1$ . As above,  $n_2 + ... + (\Delta - 2) \cdot n_{\Delta - 1} = (\Delta - 2) r + (r - 1)$ . Since  $r - 1 < \Delta - 2$ , it follows from Corollary 7 that

 $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{r-1}, n_r, n_{r+1}, \dots, n_{\Delta-2}, n_{\Delta-1}, n_{\Delta}) = ((\Delta-2)k, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, r, k-r)$  is the optimal soloution. Since  $2 \le r < \Delta - 1$ , it is easy to see that  $2\Delta(1-r) + (r^2+r-2) < 0$  and we have

$$M_{1}(T) = n_{1} + 4n_{2} + \dots + (\Delta - 2)^{2} . n_{\Delta - 2} + (\Delta - 1)^{2} . n_{\Delta - 1} + \Delta^{2} . n_{\Delta}$$

$$= (\Delta - 2)k + r^{2}(1) + (\Delta - 1)^{2} r + \Delta^{2}(k - r)$$

$$= (\Delta + 2)(\Delta - 1)k + r^{2} + r - 2r\Delta$$

$$= (\Delta + 2)n - 3\Delta + 2\Delta(1 - r) + (r^{2} + r - 2)$$

$$< (\Delta + 2)n - 3\Delta.$$

**Case 3.**  $\Delta - 1 \le r \le k - 1$ . There are non-negative integers t, s such that  $r - 1 = t(\Delta - 2) + s$ ,  $t \ge 1$  and  $s < \Delta - 1$ . By substituting in (4), we have  $n_2 + 2n_3 + \ldots + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} = (\Delta - 2)(r + t) + s$ . First let 0 < s. Since  $s \le \Delta - 2$ , it follows from Corollary 7 that

 $(n_1, n_2, ..., n_s, n_{s+1}, n_{s+2}, ..., n_{\Delta-2}, n_{\Delta-1}, n_{\Delta}) = ((\Delta - 2)k - t, 0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0, 0, r + t, k - r)$  is the optimal solution. Thus

$$\begin{split} M_1(T) &\leq (\Delta-2)k - t + (s+1)^2 + (\Delta-1)^2(r+t) + \Delta^2(k-r) \\ &= (\Delta+2)(\Delta-1)k + (s+1)^2 + r(1-2\Delta) + t\Delta(\Delta-2) \\ &= (\Delta+2)n - 3\Delta - s(\Delta-s-2) - (r-1)(\Delta-1) \\ &< (\Delta+2)n - 3\Delta. \end{split}$$

Now let s = 0. Then the optimal solution is

$$(n_1,n_2,\dots,n_{\Delta-2},n_{\Delta-1},n_{\Delta})=((\Delta-2)k-t+1,0,\dots,0,r+t,k-r)$$
 and we have

$$\begin{split} M_1(T) &\leq (\Delta - 2)k - t + 1 + (\Delta - 1)^2(r + t) + \Delta^2(k - r) \\ &= (\Delta + 2)(\Delta - 1)k - r(2\Delta - 1) + 1 + t\Delta(\Delta - 2) \\ &= (\Delta + 2)n - 3\Delta - (\Delta - 1)(r - 1) \\ &< (\Delta + 2)n - 3\Delta. \end{split}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 8 we can see that  $M_1(T) = (\Delta + 2)n - 3\Delta$  if and only if  $T \in T_n$ .

**Theorem 10.** Let T be a tree of order n with maximum degree  $\Delta$  and  $n \equiv p \pmod{\Delta - 1}$  where  $2 \le p \le \Delta - 2$ . Then

$$M_1(T) \leq \begin{cases} (\Delta+2)n-2\Delta-2 & p=2\\ (\Delta+2)n-2\Delta-3+p(p-2) & p\geq 3, \end{cases}$$

with equality if and only if  $T \in \mathsf{T}_n$ 

**Proof.** Let  $n = (\Delta - 1)k + p$ . Suppose that  $r = \frac{n_2 + 2n_3 + ... + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} + (2 - p)}{\Delta - 1}$ . By (4), we have

$$n_{\Delta} = k - (\frac{n_2 + 2n_3 + \dots + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} + (2 - p)}{\Delta - 1}) = k - r.$$

Then clearly  $0 \le r \le k-1$  and  $1 \le n_\Delta \le k$ . We consider four cases.

Case 1. r = 0. Then  $n_{\Lambda} = k$  and we by (4) we have

$$n_2 + 2n_3 + \dots + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} = (n - 2) - ((\Delta - 1)n_{\Delta}) = ((\Delta - 1)k + p - 2) - (\Delta - 1)k = p - 2.$$
 If  $p = 2$ , then  $n_2 + 2n_3 + \dots + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} = 0$ . This implies that  $n_2 = n_3 = \dots = n_{\Delta - 1} = 0$  and  $n_1 = n - k$  by (2). Thus

$$\begin{split} M_1(T) & \leq n_1 + 2^2 n_2 + \dots + (\Delta - 1)^2 . n_{\Delta - 1} + \Delta^2 . n_{\Delta} \\ & = (n - k) + \Delta^2 k \\ & = n + (\Delta + 1)(\Delta - 1)k \\ & = n + (\Delta + 1)(n - 2) \\ & = (\Delta + 2)n - 2\Delta - 2. \end{split}$$

Now let  $2 . Since <math>1 \le p - 2 \le \Delta - 4$  and  $n_2 + 2n_3 + ... (\Delta - 2) n_{\Delta - 1} = p - 2$ , it follows from Corollary 7 that

$$(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{p-2}, n_{p-1}n_p, \dots, n_{\Delta-1}, n_{\Delta}) = (n-k-1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, k)$$

is the optimal solution and so

$$\begin{split} M_{1_{max}}(T) & \leq n_1 + 4n_2 + \ldots + (\Delta - 1)^2 . n_{\Delta - 1} + \Delta^2 . n_{\Delta} \\ & = (n - k - 1) + (p - 1)^2 (1) + \Delta^2 (k) \\ & = (\Delta + 1)(\Delta - 1)k + n + p^2 - 2p \\ & = (\Delta + 1)(n - p) + n + p^2 - 2p \\ & = (\Delta + 2)n - p\Delta + p^2 - 3p \end{split}$$

Case 2. r = 1. Then  $n_{\Delta} = k - 1$  and

 $(n_1, n_2, ..., n_{p-1}, n_p, n_{p+1}, ..., n_{\Delta-2}, n_{\Delta-1}, n_{\Delta}) = ((\Delta - 2)k + p - 1, 0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0, 1, k - 1)$  is the optimal solution and since  $p \le \Delta - 2$  we have

$$\begin{split} M_1(T) &= n_1 + 4n_2 + \ldots + (\Delta - 1)^2 . n_{\Delta - 1} + \Delta^2 . n_{\Delta} \\ &= (\Delta - 2)k + p - 1 + p^2 + (\Delta - 1)^2 + \Delta^2 (k - 1) \\ &= \Delta k - 2k + p - 1 + p^2 + \Delta^2 - 2\Delta + 1 + \Delta^2 k - \Delta^2 \\ &= (\Delta + 2)(\Delta - 1)k + p + p^2 - 2\Delta \\ &= (\Delta + 2)(n - p) + p + p^2 - 2\Delta \\ &< (\Delta + 2)n - p\Delta + p^2 - 3p. \end{split}$$

**Case** 3.  $2 \le r < \Delta - p$ . By (4), we have  $n_2 + 2n_3 + ... + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} = (\Delta - 2)r + (p + r - 2)$ . Since  $r - 2 < \Delta - 2$ , it follows from Corollary 7 that

 $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{p+r-2}, n_{p+r-1}, n_{p+r}, \dots, n_{\Delta-2}, n_{\Delta-1}, n_{\Delta}) = ((\Delta-2)k + p - 1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, r, k - r)$  is the optimal solution. On the other hand, we deduce from  $p \le \Delta - 2$  and  $r < \Delta - p$  that  $r - 1 + 2(p - \Delta) < \Delta - p - 1 + 2(p - \Delta) = p - \Delta - 1 < 0$  and so  $r(r - 1 + 2(p - \Delta)) < 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{split} M_{1}(T) &\leq n_{1} + 4n_{2} + \dots + (\Delta - 1)^{2} \cdot n_{\Delta - 1} + \Delta^{2} \cdot n_{\Delta} \\ &= ((\Delta - 2)k + p - 1) + (p + r - 1)^{2} (1) + (\Delta - 1)^{2} (r) + \Delta^{2} (k - r) \\ &= \Delta k - 2k + p - 1 + p^{2} + r^{2} + 1 + 2rp - 2p - 2r + r\Delta^{2} - 2\Delta r + r + \Delta^{2} k - r\Delta^{2} \\ &= (\Delta + 2)(\Delta - 1)k + p^{2} - p - 2\Delta r + r(r + 2p - 1) \\ &= (\Delta + 2)(n - p) + p^{2} - p - 2\Delta r + r(r + 2p - 1) \\ &= (\Delta + 2)n - p\Delta + p^{2} - 3p + r(r - 1 + 2(p - \Delta)) \\ &< (\Delta + 2)n - p\Delta + p^{2} - 3p = M_{1max}(T) \end{split}$$

Case 4.  $\Delta - p \le r \le k - 1$ . Let  $p + r - 2 = t(\Delta - 2) + s$ . By substituting in (4), we have  $n_2 + 2n_3 + \ldots + (\Delta - 2)n_{\Delta - 1} = (\Delta - 2)(r + t) + s$ . If s = 0 then by Corollary 7,

 $(n_1,n_2,...,n_{\Delta-2},n_{\Delta-1},n_{\Delta})=((\Delta-2)k+p-t,0,...,0,r+t,k-r)$  is the optimal solution. Since  $\Delta-p\leq r$  and  $p\leq \Delta-2$ , we have

 $(2p - p^2 + p\Delta - \Delta r - 2\Delta + r) = p(\Delta - p + 2) - \Delta r - 2\Delta + r$   $\leq p(r+2) - \Delta r - 2\Delta + r$   $= (p - \Delta)(r+2) + r$   $< (p - \Delta)(r+2) + (r+2)$   $= (p - \Delta + 1)(r+2) < 0.$ 

Thus

$$\begin{split} M_{1}(T) &= n_{1} + 4n_{2} + \dots + (\Delta - 1)^{2} \cdot n_{\Delta - 1} + \Delta^{2} \cdot n_{\Delta} \\ &= ((\Delta - 2)k + p - t) + (\Delta - 1)^{2} (r + t) + \Delta^{2} (k - r) \\ &= (\Delta^{2}k + \Delta k - 2k) + \Delta t(\Delta - 2) + p - 2\Delta r + r \\ &= (\Delta + 2)(n - p) + \Delta t(\Delta - 2) + p - 2\Delta r + r \\ &= (\Delta + 2)n - p\Delta - 2p + p\Delta + \Delta r + p - 2\Delta - 2\Delta r + r \\ &= (\Delta + 2)n - p\Delta + p^{2} - 3p + (2p - p^{2} + p\Delta - \Delta r - 2\Delta + r) \\ &< (\Delta + 2)n - p\Delta + p^{2} - 3p. \end{split}$$

Now let 0 < s. Since  $s < \Delta - 2$ , it follows from Corollary 7 that  $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s, n_{s+1}, n_{s+2}, \ldots, n_{\Delta-2}, n_{\Delta-1}, n_{\Delta}) = ((\Delta - 2)k + p - (t+1), 0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0, 0, r+t, k-r)$  is the optimal solution. Since  $2 \le p \le \Delta - 2$  and  $0 < s \le \Delta - 3$ , it is straightforward to verify

that 
$$p\Delta - p^2 + 2p + s^2 + 2s - \Delta r + r - 2\Delta - \Delta s < 0$$
. Thus

$$M_{1}(T) = n_{1} + 4n_{2} + \dots + (\Delta - 1)^{2} \cdot n_{\Delta - 1} + \Delta^{2} \cdot n_{\Delta}$$

$$= (\Delta - 2)k + p - (t + 1) + (s + 1)^{2} + (\Delta - 1)^{2} (r + t) + \Delta^{2} (k - r)$$

$$= (\Delta^{2}k + \Delta k - 2k) + p + s^{2} + 2s - 2\Delta r + r + \Delta^{2}t - 2\Delta t$$

$$= (\Delta + 2)(\Delta - 1)k + p + s^{2} + 2s - 2\Delta r + r + \Delta t(\Delta - 2)$$

$$= (\Delta + 2)(n - p) + p + s^{2} + 2s - 2\Delta r + r + \Delta (p + r - 2 - s)$$

$$= (\Delta + 2)n - p + s^{2} + 2s - \Delta r + r - 2\Delta - \Delta s$$

$$= (\Delta + 2)n - p\Delta + p^{2} - 3p + (p\Delta - p^{2} + 2p + s^{2} + 2s - \Delta r + r - 2\Delta - \Delta s)$$

$$< (\Delta + 2)n - p\Delta + p^{2} - 3p.$$

Therefore, in all cases  $M_1(T) \le \Delta + 2)n - p\Delta + p^2 - 3p$ . As in the proof of Theorem 8, we can see that

$$M_1(T) = \begin{cases} (\Delta+2)n-2\Delta-2 & p=2\\ (\Delta+2)n-2\Delta-3+p(p-2) & p\geq 3, \end{cases}$$

if and only if  $T \in T_n$ . This completes the proof.

We now present a lower bound on the first Zagreb coindex among all trees. Ashrafi et al. [1] proved that for any conneted graph G of order n and size m

$$\overline{M}_1(G) = 2m(n-1) - M_1(G).$$

Next result is an immediate consequence of this equality and Theorem 1.

**Corollary 11.** Let T be a tree of order n with maximum degree  $\Delta$ . If  $n \equiv p \pmod{\Delta - 1}$ , then

$$\overline{M}_{1}(T) \leq \begin{cases} -(\Delta+6)n+2n^{2}+4\Delta-2 & p=0 \\ -(\Delta+6)n+2n^{2}+3\Delta+2 & p=1 \\ -(\Delta+6)n+2n^{2}+2\Delta+4 & p=2. \\ -(\Delta+6)n+2n^{2}+p\Delta+2-p(p-3) & p\geq 3. \end{cases}$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. R. Ashrafi, T. Došlić, A. Hamzeh, The Zagreb coindices of graph operations, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **158** (2010), 1571–1578.
- [2] K. C. Das, Sharp bounds for the sum of the squares of the degrees of a graph, *Kragujevac J. Math.* **25** (2003), 31–49.
- [3] K. C. Das, Maximizing the sum of the squares of the degrees of a graph, *Discrete Math.* **285** (2004), 57–66.
- [4] D. de Caen, An upper bound on the sum of squares in a graph, *Discrete Math.* **185** (1998), 245–248.
- [5] T. Došlić, Vertex-weighted Wiener polynomials for composite graphs, *Ars. Math. Contemp.* **1** (2008), 66–80.
- [6] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total  $\pi$ -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **17** (1972), 535–538.
- [7] X. L. Li, I. Gutman, Mathematical Aspects of Randić-Type Molecular Structure Descriptors, Mathematical Chemistry Monograph 1, University of Kragujevac, 2006.
- [8] S. Nikolić, G. Kovačević, A. Miličević, N. Trinajstić, The Zagreb indices 30 years after, *Croat. Chem. Acta* **76** (2003), 113–124.
- [9] Ž. Kovijanić Vukićević, G. Popivoda, Chemical trees with extreme values of Zagreb indices and coindices, *Iranian. J. Math. Chem.* **5** (2014) 19–29.
- [10] S. Zhang, W. Wang, T. C. E. Cheng, Bicyclic graphs with the first three smallest and largest values of the first general Zagreb index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **56** (2006), 579–592.
- [11] B. Zhou, I. Gutman, Relations between Wiener, hyper-Wiener and Zagreb indices, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **394** (2004), 93–95.